# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

### **FORM 10-Q**

☑ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009

OR

☐ TRANSIT		JRSUANT TO SECT S EXCHANGE ACT (		5(d) OF THE	
For	the transition period f	rom to	)	<del></del>	
	Comm	ission file number: 1-35	79		
		Y BOWES registrant as specified in			
<b>Delaware</b> (State or other jurisdiction of incor		on)		<b>06-0495050</b> ployer Identification	No.)
1 Elmcroft Road, Stamfo (Address of principal exe				<b>06926-0700</b> (Zip Code)	
	(Registrant's te	(203) 356-5000 ephone number, including	area code)		
(Former r	name, former address	and former fiscal year, if	changed since l	last report)	
Indicate by check mark whether the regis 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or 1 such filing requirements for the past 90 da	or such shorter period				
such him grequirements for the past 90 da	ауъ.		Υ	′es ☑	No □
Indicate by check mark whether the regis required to be submitted and posted pursu registrant was required to submit and pos	uant to Rule 405 of Re				
registrant was required to submit and pos	t such mes).		Y	′es ☑	No □
Indicate by check mark whether the regis company.	trant is a large accele	rated filer, an accelerated	filer, a non-acc	elerated filer or a si	maller reporting
Large accelerated filer ☑ Acce	elerated filer □	Non-accelerated filer	□ s	Smaller reporting co	mpany 🗆
Indicate by check mark whether the regis	trant is a shell compa	ny (as defined in Rule 12b		ange Act). ∕es □	No ☑
Indicate the number of shares outstanding	g of each of the issue	r's classes of common sto	ock as of Augus	st 3, 2009.	
Class				Outstanding	
Common Stock, \$1 par value	per share			207,053,403 shar	es
		1			

### PITNEY BOWES INC. INDEX

		Page Number
Part I - Financ	ial Information:	
Item 1:	Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 and 2008	3
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008	4
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 and 2008	5
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	6
Item 2:	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	27
Item 3:	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	39
Item 4:	Controls and Procedures	39
Part II - Other	Information:	
Item 1:	Legal Proceedings	40
Item 1A:	Risk Factors	40
Item 2:	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	40
Item 3:	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	40
Item 4:	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	41
Item 5:	Other Information	41
Item 6:	<u>Exhibits</u>	41
<u>Signatures</u>		42
	2	

tem 1: Financial Statements

### PITNEY BOWES INC.

## C ONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Unaudited; in thousands, except per share data)

	т	hree Months I	Ended .	June 30,		Six Months E	nded J	lune 30,
		2009		2008		2009		2008
Revenue:								
Equipment sales	\$	257,196	\$	311,650	\$	489,021	\$	614,363
Supplies		81,973		101,286		170,002		208,886
Software		87,380		109,120		167,106		214,525
Rentals		156,151		185,855		324,281		370,808
Financing		174,508		197,263		357,306		396,202
Support services		179,246		194,955		353,593		386,480
Business services		442,008		487,957		896,737		970,779
Total revenue		1,378,462		1,588,086		2,758,046		3,162,043
			_		_		_	
Costs and expenses:  Cost of equipment sales		139,770		166,282		262,855		327,395
Cost of supplies		21,369		26,419		44,710		54,291
·		•						
Cost of software		21,570		26,453		41,067		54,190
Cost of rentals		38,013		39,671		73,864		77,975
Financing interest expense		25,438		27,552		49,890		57,928
Cost of support services		101,223		115,931		199,549		229,926
Cost of business services		352,306		383,009		712,213		762,300
Selling, general and administrative		424,265		497,689		867,793		994,184
Research and development		46,622		53,168		93,571		103,168
Restructuring charges and asset impairments		.0,022		18,815				35,908
Other interest expense		29,553		30,137		57,304		61,528
Interest income		(933)		(3,562)		(2,485)		(6,552)
Total costs and comments			_		_		_	
Total costs and expenses	_	1,199,196	_	1,381,564	_	2,400,331	_	2,752,241
Income from continuing operations before income taxes		179,266		206,522		357,715		409,802
Provision for income taxes		62,535		70,386		134,684		145,933
Income from continuing operations		116,731		136,136		223,031		263,869
Gain (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income tax		5,102		(2,831)		7,725		(6,663)
Net income before attribution of noncontrolling interests		121,833		133,305		230,756		257,206
Less: Preferred stock dividends of subsidiaries attributable to		4 574		4.700		0.000		0.504
noncontrolling interests	_	4,571		4,796	_	9,092		9,594
Pitney Bowes Inc. net income	\$	117,262	\$	128,509	\$	221,664	\$	247,612
Amounts attributable to Pitney Bowes Inc. common stockholders:								
Income from continuing operations	\$	112,160	\$	131,340	\$	213,939	\$	254,275
Gain (loss) from discontinued operations	Ą	5,102	φ	(2,831)	φ	7,725	φ	(6,663)
Pitney Bowes Inc. net income	\$	117,262	\$	128,509	\$	221,664	\$	247,612
Basic earnings per share of common stock attributable to Pitney Bowes Inc. common stockholders (1):								
Continuing operations	\$	0.54	\$	0.63	\$	1.04	\$	1.21
Discontinued operations	Ψ	0.02	Ψ	(0.01)	Ψ	0.04	Ψ	(0.03)
Net income	\$	0.57	\$	0.62	\$	1.07	\$	1.18

Diluted earnings per share of common stock attributable to Pitney Bowes				
Inc. common stockholders (1):				
Continuing operations	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.63	\$ 1.03	\$ 1.20
Discontinued operations	0.02	(0.01)	0.04	(0.03)
Net income	\$ 0.57	\$ 0.61	\$ 1.07	\$ 1.17
Dividends declared per share of common stock	\$ 0.36	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.72	\$ 0.70
·				

<sup>(1)</sup> The sum of the earnings per share amounts may not equal the totals above due to rounding.

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

### PITNEY BOWES INC.

## ONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited; in thousands, except share and per share data)

	Ju	June 30, 2009 December 3			
ASSETS					
Current assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	445,262	\$	376,671	
Short-term investments		23,399		21,551	
Accounts receivables, gross		842,766		924,886	
Allowance for doubtful accounts receivables		(46,647)		(45,264)	
Accounts receivables, net		796,119		879,622	
Finance receivables		1,408,002		1,501,678	
Allowance for credit losses		(42,814)		(45,932)	
Finance receivables, net		1,365,188		1,455,746	
Inventories		171,267		161,321	
Current income taxes		91,465		59,594	
Other current assets and prepayments		102,911		78,108	
Other current assets and prepayments		102,911		70,100	
Total current assets		2,995,611		3,032,613	
Property, plant and equipment, net		546,805		574,260	
Rental property and equipment, net		365,852		397,949	
Finance receivables		4 407 772		1 115 000	
Allowance for credit losses		1,407,772 (25,091)		1,445,822 (25,858)	
Allowance for credit losses		(23,091)	_	(23,030)	
Finance receivables, net		1,382,681		1,419,964	
Investment in leveraged leases		212,235		201,921	
Goodwill		2,276,151		2,251,830	
Intangible assets, net		341,612		375,822	
Non-current income taxes		58,044		64,387	
Other assets		389,188		417,685	
Total assets	\$	8,568,179	\$	8,736,431	
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT					
Current liabilities:	\$	1,722,404	\$	1,922,399	
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities  Current income taxes		103,042	φ	108,662	
Notes payable and current portion of long-term obligations		292,869		770,501	
Advance billings		491,073		441,556	
Total current liabilities		2,609,388		3,243,118	
Deferred taxes on income		320,842		254,353	
FIN 48 uncertainties and other income tax liabilities		296,711		294,487	
Long-term debt		4,209,129		3,934,865	
Other non-current liabilities		788,244		823,322	
Total liabilities		8,224,314		8,550,145	
None and all the state of the Company of the state of the		074 405		074.405	
Noncontrolling interests (Preferred stockholders' equity in subsidiaries)  Commitments and contingencies (See Note 18)		374,165		374,165	
Stockholders' deficit:					
Cumulative preferred stock, \$50 par value, 4% convertible		7		7	
Cumulative preference stock, no par value, \$2.12 convertible		969		976	
Common stock, \$1 par value (480,000,000 shares authorized; 323,337,912 shares issued)		323,338		323,338	
Additional paid-in capital		249,312		259,306	
Retained earnings		4,351,845		4,278,804	
Accumulated other comprehensive loss  Treasury stock, at cost (116,321,121 and 117,156,719 shares, respectively)		(533,571) (4,422,200)		(596,341)	
Treasury Stock, at cost (110,021,121 and 117,100,719 Shales, respectively)		( <del>+</del> ,+22,200)		(4,453,969)	

Total Pitney Bowes Inc. Stockholders' deficit	(30,300)	 (187,879)
Total liabilities and stockholders' deficit	\$ 8,568,179	\$ 8,736,431

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

### PITNEY BOWES INC.

## ONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited; in thousands)

		Six Months En	ded J	une 30,
		2009		2008
Cash flows from operating activities:				
Net income before attribution of noncontrolling interests Restructuring charges, net of tax	\$	230,756	\$	257,206 22,746
Restructuring payments		(49,110)		(36,874)
Payments for settlement of derivative instruments		(20,281)		(30,074)
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		(20,201)		_
Depreciation and amortization		175,240		193,982
Stock-based compensation		11,632		12,754
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, excluding effects of acquisitions:		11,032		12,754
(Increase) decrease in accounts receivables		99,037		(28,839)
(Increase) decrease in finance receivables		165,142		52,243
(Increase) decrease in inventories		(4,738)		(12,298)
(Increase) decrease in prepaid, deferred expense and other assets		(20,652)		(7,556)
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued liabilities		(167,582)		(85,208)
Increase (decrease) in current and non-current income taxes		16,449		48,844
Increase (decrease) in advance billings		42,891		53,219
Increase (decrease) in other operating capital, net		4,603		229
mercase (decrease) in other operating capital, net		4,000		225
Not each provided by energting activities		483,387		470,448
Net cash provided by operating activities		403,307		470,446
Cash flows from investing activities:				
Short-term and other investments		(506)		28,157
Capital expenditures		(90,190)		(115,346)
Net investment in external financing		(356)		2,637
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired		(555)		(68,503)
Reserve account deposits		1,532		18,452
Noson vo docount doposito		1,002		10,102
Net cash used in investing activities		(89,520)		(134,603)
			_	
Cash flows from financing activities:				
Increase (decrease) in notes payable, net		(476,085)		104,349
Proceeds from long-term obligations		297,513		245,582
Principal payments on long-term obligations		237,313		(219, 109)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock		5,100		11,447
Stock repurchases		3, 100		(272,413)
Dividends paid to stockholders		(148,623)		(146,702)
Dividends paid to stockholders  Dividends paid to noncontrolling interests		(9,092)		(9,594)
Dividends paid to honcontrolling interests		(9,092)		(9,594)
Net cash used in financing activities		(331,187)		(286,440)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents		5,911		2,831
Errost of oxonaligo rate changes on each and each equivalente	_		_	
Increase in each and each envisuelents		CO E04		E0 000
Increase in cash and cash equivalents  Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period		68,591 376,671		52,236 377,176
Cash and Cash equivalents at beginning or period		3/0,0/1		3/7,1/6
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	445,262	\$	429,412
	_		_	,
Cash interest paid	\$	99,103	\$	120,877
Cash income taxes paid, net	\$	119,132	\$	94,164

### PITNEY BOWES INC.

### Ν

### OTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited: tabular dollars in thousands, except for per share data)

1. Basis of Presentation

The terms "we", "us", and "our" are used in this report to refer collectively to Pitney Bowes Inc. and its subsidiaries.

The accompanying unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of Pitney Bowes Inc. have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) for interim financial information and the instructions to Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In addition, the December 31, 2008 condensed consolidated balance sheet data was derived from audited financial statements, which were revised in the current period to reflect presentation changes for the adoption of FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment of ARB No. 51, but does not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, all adjustments (consisting of only normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary to present fairly our financial position at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, our results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 have been included. Operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for any other interim period or the year ending December 31, 2009.

These statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in our 2008 Annual Report to Stockholders on Form 10-K.

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform with the current period presentation. In the second quarter of 2009, we have separately presented a financing interest expense line item, which represents our cost of borrowing associated with the generation of financing revenues, in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income. In computing our financing interest expense, we assumed a 10:1 leveraging ratio of debt to equity and applied our overall effective interest rate to the average outstanding finance receivables.

In accordance with SFAS No. 165, *Subsequent Events*, we have evaluated subsequent events through August 5, 2009, the date of issuance of the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. During this period we did not have any material recognizable subsequent events. We did, however, have non-recognizable subsequent events by entering into three interest rate swaps for a combined notional amount of \$300 million in July 2009. See Note 11 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion on the interest rate swaps.

### 2. Nature of Operations

We are a provider of leading-edge, global, integrated mail and document management solutions for organizations of all sizes. We operate in two business groups: Mailstream Solutions and Mailstream Services. Mailstream Solutions includes worldwide revenue and related expenses from the sale, rental, and financing of mail finishing, mail creation, shipping equipment and software; production mail equipment; supplies; mailing support and other professional services; payment solutions; and mailing, customer communication and location intelligence software. Mailstream Services includes worldwide revenue and related expenses from facilities management services; secure mail services; reprographics, document management, and other value-added services for targeted customer markets; mail services operations, which include presort mail services and international mail services; and marketing services. See Note 7 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for details of our reporting segments and a description of their activities.

### 3. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 157, Fair Value Measurements ("SFAS 157"), to define how the fair value of assets and liabilities should be measured in accounting standards where it is allowed or required. In addition to defining fair value, the Statement established a framework within GAAP for measuring fair value and expanded required disclosures surrounding fair value measurements. In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) FAS 157-2, Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157, which delayed the effective date by one year for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis. In October 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS 157-3, Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset Is Not Active, to clarify the application of SFAS 157 in a market that is not active and provides an example to illustrate key considerations in determining the fair value of a financial asset when the market for that financial asset is not active. This FSP was

effective immediately. In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS 157-4, *Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly*, to provide additional guidance for estimating fair value when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability have significantly decreased. This FSP is effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. We adopted SFAS 157 for financial assets and financial liabilities on January 1, 2008, and the adoption did not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows. We adopted SFAS 157 for nonfinancial items on January 1, 2009, and the adoption did not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows. We currently do not have any financial assets that are valued using inactive markets, and as such are not impacted by the issuances of FSP 157-3 and FSP 157-4. See Note 17 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion on fair value measurements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), *Business Combinations* ("SFAS 141(R)"). SFAS 141(R) establishes principles and requirements for how a company (a) recognizes and measures in their financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest (previously referred to as minority interest); (b) recognizes and measures the goodwill acquired in a business combination or a gain from a bargain purchase; and (c) determines what information to disclose to enable users of the financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of a business combination. SFAS 141(R) requires fair value measurements at the date of acquisition, with limited exceptions specified in the Statement. Some of the major impacts of this new standard include expense recognition for transaction costs and restructuring costs. SFAS 141(R) was effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008 and is applied prospectively. The adoption of this Statement has not had a material impact on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment of ARB No. 51* ("SFAS 160"). SFAS 160 addresses the accounting and reporting for the outstanding noncontrolling interest (previously referred to as minority interest) in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. It also establishes additional disclosures in the consolidated financial statements that identify and distinguish between the interests of the parent's owners and of the noncontrolling owners of a subsidiary. This Statement is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008. SFAS 160 requires retroactive adoption of the presentation and disclosure requirements for existing minority interests. All other requirements of SFAS 160 are applied prospectively. We adopted the presentation and disclosure requirements of SFAS 160 on a retrospective basis beginning in the first quarter of 2009.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* ("SFAS 161"). SFAS 161 requires enhanced disclosures about (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, and its related interpretations, and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. This Statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. This Statement encourages, but does not require, comparative disclosures for earlier periods at initial adoption. The adoption of this Statement requires us to present currently disclosed information in a tabular format and also expands our disclosures concerning where derivatives are reported on the balance sheet and where gains/losses are recognized in the results of operations. The Company has complied with the disclosure requirements of this Statement beginning in the first quarter of 2009. See Note 17 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for the additional disclosures.

In December 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS 132(R)-1, *Employers' Disclosures about Postretirement Benefit Plan Assets*, which amends Statement No. 132(R) to require more detailed disclosures about employer's plan assets, including investment strategies, major categories of assets, concentrations of risk within plan assets and valuation techniques used to measure the fair value of assets. The FSP is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2009. The Company will comply with the additional disclosure requirements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, *Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*. This FSP amends FASB Statement No. 107, *Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*, to require disclosures about fair value of financial instruments for interim reporting periods of publicly traded companies as well as in annual financial statements. This FSP also amends APB Opinion No. 28, *Interim Financial Reporting*, to require those disclosures in summarized financial information at interim reporting periods. This FSP is effective for interim reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. The Company has complied with the additional disclosure requirements beginning in the second quarter of 2009. See Note 17 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion on fair value measurements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2, *Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments*. This FSP amends the other-than-temporary impairment guidance in U.S. GAAP for debt securities to make the guidance more operational and to improve the presentation and disclosure of other-than-temporary impairments on debt and equity securities in the financial statements. The FSP does not amend existing recognition and measurement guidance related to other-than-temporary impairments of equity securities. The FSP is effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. The Company currently does not have any financial assets that are other-than-temporary impaired.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 141(R)-1, Accounting for Assets Acquired and Liabilities Assumed in a Business Combination That Arise from Contingencies, to address some of the application issues under SFAS 141(R). The FSP deals with the initial recognition and measurement of an asset acquired or a liability assumed in a business combination that arises from a contingency provided the asset or liability's fair value on the date of acquisition can be determined. When the fair value can't be determined, the FSP requires using the guidance under SFAS No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies, and FASB Interpretation (FIN) No. 14, Reasonable Estimation of the Amount of a Loss. This FSP is effective for assets or liabilities arising from contingencies in business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after January 1, 2009. The adoption of this FSP has not had a material impact on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

In May 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, *Subsequent Events*. SFAS 165 establishes general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. Although there is new terminology, the standard is based on the same principles as those that currently exist in the auditing standards. The standard also includes a required disclosure of the date through which the entity has evaluated subsequent events and whether the evaluation date is the date of issuance or the date the financial statements were available to be issued. The standard is effective for interim or annual periods ending after June 15, 2009. The Company has complied with the disclosure requirements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 168, *The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles - a replacement of FASB Statement No. 162.* The FASB Accounting Standards Codification (Codification) will become the source of authoritative U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities. Rules and interpretive releases of the SEC under authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. On the effective date of this Statement, the Codification will supersede all then-existing non-SEC accounting and reporting standards. All other non-grandfathered non-SEC accounting literature not included in the Codification will become non-authoritative. This Statement is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. The Company will comply with the requirements of the Statement beginning in the third quarter of 2009.

### 4. Discontinued Operations

The following table shows selected financial information included in discontinued operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively:

	Т	Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,			
		2009		2008		2009		2008	
Discontinued Operations									
Pre-tax income	\$	10,851	\$	_	\$	20,624	\$	_	
Tax provision		(5,749)		(2,831)		(12,899)		(6,663)	
			_		_		_		
Gain (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	\$	5,102	\$	(2,831)	\$	7,725	\$	(6,663)	

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, \$10.9 million of pre-tax income, net of \$4.2 million in tax, represents the release of reserves related to the expiration of an indemnity agreement in April 2009 associated with the sale of our Capital Services portfolio in 2006. This income was partially offset by the accrual of interest on uncertain tax positions. The net loss for the three months ended June 30, 2008 relates to the accrual of interest on uncertain tax positions.

Pre-tax income for the six months ended June 30, 2009 includes the indemnity settlement as discussed and \$9.8 million of pre-tax income, net of \$3.8 million in tax, for a bankruptcy settlement received during the first quarter of 2009 pertaining to the leasing of certain aircraft from our former Capital Services business which was sold in 2006. This income was partly offset by the accrual of

interest on uncertain tax positions. The net loss for the six months ended June 30, 2008 relates to the accrual of interest on uncertain tax positions.

### 5. Acquisitions

On April 21, 2008, we acquired Zipsort, Inc. for \$40 million in cash, net of cash acquired. Zipsort, Inc. acts as an intermediary between customers and the U.S. Postal Service. Zipsort, Inc. offers mailing services that include presorting of first class, standard class, flats, permit and international mail as well as metering services. We assigned the goodwill to the Mail Services segment.

The following table summarizes selected financial data for the opening balance sheet of the Zipsort, Inc. acquisition in 2008:

		2008
	Zip	sort, Inc.
Purchase price allocation:		
Current assets	\$	708
Other non-current assets		11,707
Intangible assets		7,942
Goodwill		25,294
Current liabilities		(2,975)
Non-current liabilities		(2,885)
Purchase price, net of cash acquired	\$	39,791
Intangible assets:		
Customer relationships	\$	7,658
Non-compete agreements		284
	_	
Total intangible assets	\$	7,942
		, -
Intangible assets amortization period:		
Customer relationships		15 years
Non-compete agreements		4 years
•		
Total weighted average		15 years
		. ,

There were no acquisitions during the six months ended June 30, 2009.

During the six months ended June 30, 2008, we also completed four smaller acquisitions with an aggregate cost of \$29.2 million. These acquisitions did not have a material impact on our financial results.

No tax deductible goodwill was added during the six months ended June 30, 2009. The amount of tax deductible goodwill added from acquisitions for the six months ended June 30, 2008 was \$27.4 million.

### Consolidated impact of acquisitions

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements include the results of operations of the acquired businesses from their respective dates of acquisition. These acquisitions increased our revenue and earnings but, including related financing costs, did not materially impact earnings either on an aggregate or per share basis.

The following table provides unaudited pro forma consolidated revenue for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 as if our acquisitions had been acquired on January 1 of each year:

		Three Months B	Ended June 30,	Six Months E	nded June 30,
	_	2009	2008	2009	2008
Total revenue	\$	1,378,462	\$ 1,589,843	\$ 2,758,046	\$ 3,164,070
	0				

The pro forma earnings results of these acquisitions were not material to net income or earnings per share. The pro forma consolidated results do not purport to be indicative of actual results that would have occurred had the acquisitions been completed on January 1, 2009 and 2008, nor do they purport to be indicative of the results that will be obtained in the future.

### 6. Earnings per Share

A reconciliation of the basic and diluted earnings per share computations for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 is as follows:

	2009						2008				
	_	Income	Weighted Average Shares	;	Per Share	Income	Weighted Average Shares	(	Per Share		
Pitney Bowes Inc. net income	\$	117,262				\$ 128,509					
Less:											
Preferred stock dividends Preference stock dividends		(19)				(19)					
Troisionos stock dividends	_	(10)									
Basic earnings per share	\$	117,243	206,539	\$	0.57	\$ 128,490	208,050	\$	0.62		
Effect of dilutive securities:											
Data for basic earnings per share	\$	117,243	206,539			\$ 128,490	208,050				
Preferred stock		_	3			_	3				
Preference stock		19	594			19	601				
Stock options and stock purchase plans		_	_				819				
Other stock plans		_	2			_	70				
Diluted earnings per share	\$	117,262	207,138	\$	0.57	\$ 128,509	209,543	\$	0.61		
					Per Share				Per Share		
Basic earnings per share of common stock attributable to Pi stockholders:	tney	Bowes Inc.	common								
Continuing operations				\$	0.54			\$	0.63		
Discontinued operations					0.02				(0.01)		
				_				_			
Net income				\$ —	0.57			\$ —	0.62		
					Per Share				Per Share		
Diluted earnings per share of common stock attributable to F stockholders:	Pitne	ey Bowes Inc	. common								
Continuing operations				\$	0.54			\$	0.63		
Discontinued operations					0.02				(0.01)		
				_				_			
Net income				\$	0.57			\$	0.61		

Note: The sum of the earnings per share amounts may not equal the totals above due to rounding.

A reconciliation of the basic and diluted earnings per share computations for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 is as follows:

			2009				2008		
		Income	Weighted Average Shares	;	Per Share	Income	Weighted Average Shares	5	Per Share
Pitney Bowes Inc. net income	\$	221,664				\$ 247,612			
Less:									
Preferred stock dividends						<u> </u>			
Preference stock dividends	_	(38)				(39)			
Basic earnings per share	\$	221,626	206,400	\$	1.07	\$ 247,573	209,942	\$	1.18
Effect of dilutive securities:									
Data for basic earnings per share	\$	221,626	206,400			\$ 247,573	209,942		
Preferred stock		_	3			_	3		
Preference stock		38	595			39	604		
Stock options and stock purchase plans		_	_			_	855		
Other stock plans			4				77		
Diluted earnings per share	\$	221,664	207,002	\$	1.07	\$ 247,612	211,481	\$	1.17
					Per Share				Per Share
Basic earnings per share of common stock attributable to stockholders:	Pitney	Bowes Inc.	common						
Continuing operations				\$	1.04			\$	1.21
Discontinued operations				·	0.04			Ť	(0.03)
Net income				\$	1.07			\$	1.18
				;	Per Share			5	Per Share
				_				_	
Diluted earnings per share of common stock attributable to stockholders:	o Pitne	ey Bowes Inc	c. common						
Continuing operations				\$	1.03			\$	1.20
Discontinued operations					0.04				(0.03)
Net income				\$	1.07			\$	1.17
				· .				·	

Note: The sum of the earnings per share amounts may not equal the totals above due to rounding.

In accordance with SFAS No. 128, *Earnings per Share*, approximately 6.4 million and 2.0 million common stock equivalent shares for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and 6.5 million and 1.9 million common stock equivalent shares for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, issuable upon the exercise of stock options were excluded from the above computations because the exercise prices of such options were greater than the average market price of the common stock and therefore the impact of these shares was anti-dilutive.

On February 9, 2009, we made our annual stock compensation grant which consisted of approximately 1.6 million stock options and 0.8 million restricted stock units.

### 7. Segment Information

We conduct our business activities in seven business segments within the Mailstream Solutions and Mailstream Services business groups. We calculate earnings before interest and taxes ("EBIT") by deducting from revenue the related costs and expenses attributable to the segment. EBIT, a non-GAAP measure, is useful to management in demonstrating the operational profitability of the segments by excluding interest and taxes, which are generally managed across the entire company on a consolidated basis. Segment EBIT also excludes general corporate expenses, restructuring charges and asset impairments.

### Mailstream Solutions:

<u>U.S. Mailing</u>: Includes the U.S. revenue and related expenses from the sale, rental and financing of our mail finishing, mail creation, shipping equipment and software; supplies; support and other professional services; and payment solutions.

<u>International Mailing</u>: Includes the non-U.S. revenue and related expenses from the sale, rental and financing of our mail finishing, mail creation, shipping equipment and software; supplies; support and other professional services; and payment solutions.

<u>Production Mail</u>: Includes the worldwide revenue and related expenses from the sale, financing, support and other professional services of our high-speed, production mail systems and sorting equipment.

<u>Software</u>: Includes the worldwide revenue and related expenses from the sale and support services of non-equipment-based mailing, customer communication and location intelligence software.

### **Mailstream Services:**

<u>Management Services</u>: Includes worldwide facilities management services; secure mail services; reprographic, document management services; and litigation support and eDiscovery services.

Mail Services: Includes presort mail services and cross-border mail services.

<u>Marketing Services</u>: Includes direct marketing services for targeted customers; web-tools for the customization of promotional mail and marketing collateral; and other marketing consulting services.

Revenue and EBIT by business segment for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

	T	hree Months I	Ended	June 30,	;	Six Months E	nded June 30,	
		2009		2008		2009	2008	
Revenue:								
U.S. Mailing	\$	505,159	\$	550,849	\$ 1	,013,682	\$1,103,434	
International Mailing		217,900		302,085		455,212	610,418	
Production Mail		130,137		149,400		239,566	284,804	
Software		82,823		102,250		158,198	201,913	
Mailstream Solutions		936,019	1	,104,584	1	,866,658	2,200,569	
Management Services		263,763		300,454		530,265	603,089	
Mail Services		138,598		134,764		279,849	260,186	
Marketing Services		40,082		48,284		81,274	98,199	
•	_		_		_			
Mailstream Services	_	442,443	_	483,502	_	891,388	961,474	
Total revenue	\$ 1	,378,462	\$ 1	,588,086	\$ 2	2,758,046	\$ 3,162,043	
	\$ 1, Thi	hree Months I	Ended	June 30,	Six Months Er		Ended June 30,	
	_	2009		2008		2009	2008	
EBIT: (1)								
U.S. Mailing	\$	195,044	\$	220,526	\$	387,878	\$ 444,481	
International Mailing	•	27,069	Ť	51.462		58.008	101,397	
Production Mail		10,413		15,350		15,480	23,933	
Software		5,219		6,317		7,823	12,795	
Mailstream Solutions		237,745		293,655		469,189	582,606	
Management Services		16,140		18,230		29,777	36,867	
Mail Services		21,723		15,980		40,298	34,369	
Marketing Services		3,147		3,527		5,163	5,279	
Mailstream Services		41,010		37,737		75,238	76,515	
Total EBIT		278,755		331,392		544,427	659,121	
Unallocated amounts:								
Interest, net (2)		(54,058)		(54, 127)		(104,709)	(112,904)	
Corporate expenses		(45,431)		(51,928)		(82,003)	(100,507)	
Restructuring charges and asset impairments	_			(18,815)			(35,908)	
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	\$	179,266	\$	206,522	\$	357,715	\$ 409,802	

<sup>(1)</sup> Earnings before interest and taxes excludes general corporate expenses, restructuring charges, and asset impairments.

<sup>(2)</sup> Interest, net includes financing interest expense, other interest expense and interest income.

### 8. Inventories

Inventories are composed of the following:

	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
Raw materials and work in process	\$ 47,303	\$ 41,171
Supplies and service parts	78,373	78,018
Finished products	45,591	42,132
Total	<b>\$</b> 171,267	\$ 161,321
9. Fixed Assets		
	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
Property, plant and equipment	\$ 1,826,971	\$ 1,880,422
Accumulated depreciation	(1,280,166)	(1,306,162)
Property, plant and equipment, net	\$ 546,805	\$ 574,260
Rental property and equipment	\$ 736,338	\$ 932,389
Accumulated depreciation	(370,486)	(534,440)
Rental property and equipment, net	\$ 365,852	\$ 397,949

Depreciation expense was \$69.6 million and \$78.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Depreciation expense was \$139.6 million and \$158.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

### 10. Intangible Assets and Goodwill

Intangible assets are composed of the following:

		June 30, 2009 December 31, 2008				
	Gross Carrying Accumulated Amount Amortization		Net Carrying Amount	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount
Customer relationships	\$ 424,042	\$ (176,228)	\$ 247,814	\$423,169	\$ (154,619)	\$268,550
Supplier relationships	29,000	(11,842)	17,158	29,000	(10,392)	18,608
Software & technology	151,783	(84,828)	66,955	155,035	(78,982)	76,053
Trademarks & trade names	24,998	(15,885)	9,113	25,071	(13,310)	11,761
Non-compete agreements	2,693	(2,121)	572	2,652	(1,802)	850
Total intangible assets	\$ 632,516	\$ (290,904)	\$ 341,612	\$634,927	\$ (259,105)	\$375,822

Amortization expense for intangible assets for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 was \$18.2 million and \$17.9 million, respectively. Amortization expense for intangible assets for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 was \$35.6 million and \$35.0 million, respectively.

The estimated future amortization expense related to intangible assets is as follows:

	Amount
Remaining for year ended December 31, 2009	\$ 31,000
Year ended December 31, 2010	59,000
Year ended December 31, 2011	53,000
Year ended December 31, 2012	47,000
Year ended December 31, 2013	44,000
Thereafter	107,612
Total	\$ 341,612

Changes in the carrying amount of goodwill by business segment for the six months ended June 30, 2009 are as follows:

	Balance at December 31, 2008	Acquired during the period		Other (1)	Balance at June 30, 2009
U.S. Mailing	\$ 142,365	\$	_	\$ 246	\$ 142,611
International Mailing	322,230		_	16,112	338,342
Production Mail	137,067		_	238	137,305
Software	623,995			7,728	631,723
Mailstream Solutions	1,225,657		_	24,324	1,249,981
Management Services	491,633		_	912	492,545
Mail Services	260,793		_	(983)	259,810
Marketing Services	273,747			68	273,815
Mailstream Services	1,026,173		_	(3)	1,026,170
Total	\$ 2,251,830	\$	_	\$ 24,321	\$ 2,276,151

(1) "Other" includes post closing acquisition and foreign currency translation adjustments.

### 11. Long-term Debt

On June 29, 2009, we entered into an interest rate swap for an aggregate notional amount of \$100 million to effectively convert our interest payments on a portion of the \$400 million, 4.625% fixed rate notes due in 2012, into variable interest rates. The variable rates payable are based on one month LIBOR plus 249 basis points. In July 2009, we entered into three additional interest rate swaps for an aggregate notional amount of \$300 million to effectively convert our interest payments on the remainder of the \$400 million, 4.625% fixed rate notes due in 2012, into variable interest rates. The variable rates payable are based on one month LIBOR plus 248 basis points for \$100 million notional amount and one month LIBOR plus 250 basis points for \$200 million notional amount.

On March 2, 2009, we issued \$300 million of 10-year fixed-rate notes with a coupon rate of 6.25%. The interest is paid semi-annually beginning September 15, 2009. The notes mature on March 15, 2019. We simultaneously unwound four forward starting swap agreements (forward swaps) used to hedge the interest rate risk associated with the forecasted issuance of the fixed-rate debt. The unwind of the derivatives resulted in a loss (and cash payment) of \$20.3 million which was recorded to other comprehensive income, net of tax, and will be amortized to net interest expense over the 10-year term of the notes. The proceeds from these notes were used for general corporate purposes, including the repayment of commercial paper.

On March 4, 2008, we issued \$250 million of 10-year fixed-rate notes with a coupon rate of 5.60%. The interest is paid semi-annually beginning September 2008. The notes mature on March 15, 2018. We simultaneously entered into two interest rate swaps for a total notional amount of \$250 million to convert the fixed-rate notes to a floating rate obligation bearing interest at 6 month LIBOR plus 111.5 basis points. The proceeds from these notes were used for general corporate purposes, including the repayment of commercial paper and repurchase of our stock.

### 12. Noncontrolling Interests (Preferred Stockholders' Equity in Subsidiaries)

Pitney Bowes International Holdings, Inc. ("PBIH"), a subsidiary of the Company, has 3,750,000 shares outstanding or \$375 million of variable term voting preferred stock owned by certain outside institutional investors. These preferred shares are entitled to 25% of the combined voting power of all classes of capital stock of PBIH. All outstanding common stock of Pitney Bowes International Holdings, Inc., representing the remaining 75% of the combined voting power of all classes of capital stock, is owned directly or indirectly by Pitney Bowes Inc. The preferred stock, \$.01 par value, is entitled to cumulative dividends at rates set at auction. The weighted average dividend rate was 4.8% for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Preferred dividends are included in noncontrolling interests (preferred stock dividends of subsidiaries) in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income. The preferred stock is subject to mandatory redemption based on certain events, at a redemption price not less than \$100 per share, plus the amount of any dividends accrued or in arrears. No dividends were in arrears at June 30, 2009, December 31, 2008 or June 30, 2008. A rollforward of noncontrolling interests is as follows:

Beginning balance, January 1, 2008	\$ 384,165
Movements:	
Share redemptions (1)	(10,000)
Ending balance, December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009	\$ 374,165

(1) At December 31, 2007, a subsidiary of the Company had 100 shares or \$10 million of 9.11% Cumulative Preferred Stock, mandatorily redeemable in 20 years, owned by an institutional investor. In August 2008, we redeemed 100% of this Preferred Stock resulting in a net loss of \$1.8 million.

### 13. Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

	Three Months E	nded June 30,	Six Months Er	ided June 30,
	2009	2009 2008		2008
Pitney Bowes Inc. net income	\$ 117,262	\$ 128,509	\$ 221,664	\$ 247,612
Other comprehensive income, net of tax:				
Foreign currency translation adjustments (1)	107,164	1,413	47,734	38,113
Net unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives	164	(225)	6,514	803
Net unrealized loss on investment securities	(151)	(284)	(230)	(75)
Amortization of pension and postretirement costs	4,157	3,562	8,752	7,131
Comprehensive income	\$ 228,596	\$ 132,975	\$ 284,434	\$ 293,584

(1) Includes a net deferred translation loss of \$6.4 million and \$0.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, a net loss of \$12.0 million and a net gain of \$10.0 million, respectively, were recorded. These amounts are associated with intercompany loans denominated in a foreign currency that have been designated as a hedge of net investment.

### 14. Restructuring Charges and Asset Impairments

Pre-tax restructuring reserves at June 30, 2009 are composed of the following:

Non-cash June 30, charges 2009
\$ — \$ 66,167
25,832
\$ — \$ 91,999
\$ — \$ 6

We recorded pre-tax restructuring charges and asset impairments during 2008 and 2007. These charges primarily related to a program we announced in November 2007 to lower our cost structure, accelerate efforts to improve operational efficiencies, and transition our product line.

As of June 30, 2009, 2,743 terminations have occurred under this program and approximately 300 additional positions have been eliminated since the inception of the program. The majority of the liability at June 30, 2009 is expected to be paid by the end of 2009 from cash generated from operations.

### 15. Pensions and Other Benefit Programs

Defined Benefit Pension Plans

The components of net periodic benefit cost for defined benefit pension plans for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

	United	States	Foreign			
	Three Months Ended June 30,  2009 2008 2009 2008  \$ 4,916 \$ 7,031 \$ 1,683 \$ 2,887 23,262 24,190 6,217 7,748 (29,861) (33,196) (6,727) (9,748 — (2) 32 (678) (635) 112 170					
	2009	2008	2009	Months Ended June 30,  9 2008  683 \$ 2,887 217 7,748 727) (9,748) (2) 32 112 170 611 1,055		
Service cost	\$ 4,916	\$ 7,031	\$ 1,683	\$ 2,887		
Interest cost	23,262	24,190	6,217	7,748		
Expected return on plan assets	(29,861)	(33, 196)	(6,727)	(9,748)		
Amortization of transition (credit) cost	`	· -/	(2)			
Amortization of prior service (credit) cost	(678)	(635)		170		
Amortization of net loss	6,159	4,883	611	1,055		
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 3,798	\$ 2,273	\$ 1,894	\$ 2,144		

The components of net periodic benefit cost for defined benefit pension plans for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

	United	States	Fore	ign		
	Six Months Er	United States  Six Months Ended June 30,  2009 2008  \$ 12,256 \$ 14,062 47,486	Six Months Ended June 3			
	2009	2008	2009	2008		
Service cost	\$ 12,256	\$ 14,062	\$ 3,275	\$ 5,578		
Interest cost	47,486	48,380	12,009	15,479		
Expected return on plan assets	(60,012)	(66,392)	(12,983)	(19,502)		
Amortization of transition (credit) cost	` <u> </u>		(4)	64		
Amortization of prior service (credit) cost	(1,274)	(1,270)	215	340		
Amortization of net loss	13,186	9,766	1,191	2,114		
		<b>A A F A O</b>	0 0 700	Φ 4.070		
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 11,642 ————	\$ 4,546	\$ 3,703	\$ 4,073		

We are revising our expected 2009 pension plan contributions. We now expect to contribute up to \$15 million each to the U.S. and foreign plans. We will continue to reassess our funding alternatives as the year progresses. At June 30, 2009, \$10.3 million and \$7.8 million of contributions have been made to the U.S. and foreign pension plans, respectively.

Our pension funds' actual asset returns have performed in line with our portfolio benchmark indices. Our funded status will be highly dependent on the market returns and the prevailing discount rate used to value our year-end obligations.

### Nonpension Postretirement Benefit Plans

The components of net periodic benefit cost for nonpension postretirement benefit plans for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

	The	Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,		
		2009 2008		2009		2008		
Service cost	\$	1,010	\$	892	\$	1,812	\$	1,784
Interest cost		3,728		3,459		7,290		6,915
Amortization of prior service credit		(620)		(618)		(1,240)		(1,236)
Amortization of net loss		1,122		738	_	2,068	_	1,477
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	5,240	\$	4,471	\$	9,930	\$	8,940

For the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, we made \$5.5 million and \$8.3 million of contributions representing benefit payments, respectively. Contributions for benefit payments were \$13.4 million and \$17.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008.

### 16. Income Taxes

The effective tax rate for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 was 34.9% and 34.1%, respectively. The effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 was 37.7% and 35.6%, respectively. The year-to-date 2009 tax rate was increased by a \$12.0 million write-off of deferred tax assets associated with the expiration of out-of-the-money vested stock options and the vesting of stock units previously granted to our employees. This write-off of deferred tax assets will not require us to pay any taxes. The year-to-date 2008 tax rate was increased by a \$6.5 million tax accrual associated with lease refunds in the U.K. and Ireland.

We regularly assess the likelihood of tax adjustments in each of the tax jurisdictions in which we have operations and account for the related financial statement implications. Tax reserves have been established which we believe to be appropriate given the possibility of tax adjustments. Determining the appropriate level of tax reserves requires us to exercise judgment regarding the uncertain application of tax law. The amount of reserves is adjusted when information becomes available or when an event occurs indicating a change in the reserve is appropriate. Future changes in tax reserve requirements could have a material impact on our results of operations.

We are continually under examination by tax authorities in the United States, other countries and local jurisdictions in which we have operations. The years under examination vary by jurisdiction. The current IRS exam of tax years 2001-2004 is estimated to be completed within the next two years and the examination of years 2005-2007 has commenced. In connection with the 2001-2004 exam, we have received notices of proposed adjustments to our filed returns. We have accrued our best estimate of the tax, interest and penalties that may result from these proposed adjustments in accordance with FIN 48. We are disputing a formal request from the IRS in the form of a civil summons to provide certain company workpapers. We believe that certain documents being sought should not be produced because they are privileged. In a similar case, the U.S. District Court in Rhode Island ruled that certain company workpapers were privileged. The IRS has appealed that decision. Also in connection with the 2001-2004 audit, we have entered into a settlement with the IRS regarding the tax treatment of certain lease transactions related to the Capital Services business that we sold in 2006. Prior to 2007, we accrued and paid the IRS the additional tax and interest associated with this settlement. A variety of post-1999 tax years remain subject to examination by other tax authorities, including the U.K., Canada, France, Germany and various U.S. states. We have accrued our best estimate of the tax, interest and penalties that may result from these tax uncertainties in these and

other jurisdictions in accordance with FIN 48. However, the resolution of such matters could have a material impact on our results of operations, financial position and cash flows.

### 17. Fair Value Measurements

Effective January 1, 2008, we adopted SFAS 157 for financial assets and liabilities. Fair value is a market-based measure considered from the perspective of a market participant rather than an entity-specific measure. SFAS 157 emphasizes that an entity's valuation technique for measuring fair value should maximize observable inputs and minimize unobservable inputs.

Non-recurring nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities include those measured at fair value in goodwill and indefinite lived intangible asset impairment testing, and those non-recurring nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities initially measured at fair value in a business combination. The new fair value definition and disclosure requirements for these specific nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities were effective January 1, 2009.

SFAS 157 established a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value. The three levels of the fair value hierarchy as defined by SFAS 157 are as follows:

<u>Level 1</u> – Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities. Examples of Level 1 assets include money market securities and U.S. Treasury securities.

<u>Level 2</u> – Observable inputs other than Level 1 inputs such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that trade infrequently; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities. Examples of Level 2 assets and liabilities include derivative contracts whose values are determined using a model with inputs that are observable in the market or can be derived from or corroborated by observable market data, such as mortgage-backed securities, asset backed securities, U.S. agency securities, and corporate notes and bonds.

<u>Level 3</u> – Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the asset or liability. These inputs may be derived with internally developed methodologies that result in management's best estimate of fair value. During the six months ended June 30, 2009 and for the year ended December 31, 2008, we had no Level 3 recurring measurements.

The following tables show, by level within the fair value hierarchy, our financial assets and liabilities that are accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. As required by SFAS 157, financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment and may affect their placement within the fair value hierarchy levels.

	Recurring Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2009 by Level							
		Level 1		Level 2	Level 3			Total
Assets:								
Investment securities								
Money market funds	\$	222,435	\$	_	\$	_	\$	222,435
U.S. Government and agency issued debt		54,637		11,352		_		65,989
Corporate notes and bonds		_		8,365		_		8,365
Asset backed securities		_		1,344		_		1,344
Mortgage-backed securities		_		14,013		_		14,013
Derivatives								
Interest rate swaps		_		8,374		_		8,374
Foreign exchange contracts		_		748		_		748
Total assets	\$	277,072	\$	44,196	\$	_	\$	321,268
Liabilities:								
Derivatives								
Interest rate swaps	\$	_	\$	259	\$	_	\$	259
Foreign exchange contracts	<b></b>	_		36.180	· ·	_	Ť	36,180
1 droight exchange contracts								30,100
Total liabilities	\$		\$	36,439	\$		\$	36,439
		Level 1		Level 2		vel 3		Total
Assets:								
Investment securities								
Money market funds	\$	181,664	\$	_	\$	_	\$	181,664
U.S. Government and agency issued debt		30,583		11,433				42,016
Corporate notes and bonds		_		4,725		_		4,725
Asset backed securities				2,658				2,658
Mortgage-backed securities		_		21,713		_		21,713
Derivatives								
Interest rate swaps				32,486				32,486
Total assets	\$	212,247	\$	73,015	\$	_	\$	285,262
Liabilities:								
Derivatives								
Foreign exchange contracts	\$	_	\$	286	\$	_	\$	286
Treasury lock and forward starting swaps				31,326				31,326
Total liabilities	\$	_	\$	31,612	\$	_	\$	31,612
		20						

### **Investment Securities**

For our investments, we use the market approach for recurring fair value measurements and the valuation techniques use inputs that are observable, or can be corroborated by observable data, in an active marketplace.

The following information relates to our classification into the fair value hierarchy:

- Money Market Funds: Money market funds typically invest in government securities, certificates of deposit, commercial paper of companies and other highly liquid and low-risk securities. Money market funds are principally used for overnight deposits and are classified in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy.
- U.S. Government Issued Debts: U.S. Governmental securities are valued using active, high volume trades for identical securities. Valuation adjustments are not applied so these securities are classified in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy.
- U.S. Agency Issued Debt: U.S. Agency issued debt is based on active, high volume trades for identical or comparable securities. Non-callable agency issued debt securities are generally valued using quoted market prices. To the extent that the securities are actively traded, they are categorized in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. Callable agency issued debt securities are valued through benchmarking model derived prices to quoted market prices and trade data for identical or comparable securities. Callable agency issued debt securities are categorized in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.
- Corporate Notes and Bonds: The fair value of corporate securities is estimated using recently executed transactions, market price quotations where observable, or bond spreads. The spread data used are for the same maturity as the security. These securities are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.
- Asset Backed Securities ("ABS") and Mortgage-Backed Securities ("MBS"): These securities are valued based on external pricing indices. When external index pricing is not observable, ABS and MBS are valued based on external price/spread data. If neither pricing method is available, we then utilize broker quotes. We verify that the unadjusted indices or broker quotes are reasonable and that the market is active by comparing prices across multiple (three or more) dealers. When inputs are observable and supported by an active market, asset backed securities and mortgage-backed securities are classified as Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Investment securities are primarily composed of investments by The Pitney Bowes Bank (PBB). PBB, our wholly-owned subsidiary, is a Utah-chartered Industrial Loan Company (ILC). The bank's investments at June 30, 2009 were \$226.2 million. We reported these investments in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as cash and cash equivalents of \$154.5 million, short-term investments of \$20.6 million and long-term investments of \$51.1 million. The bank's investments at December 31, 2008 were \$196.9 million. We reported these investments in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as cash and cash equivalents of \$125.8 million, short-term investments of \$18.3 million and long-term investments of \$52.8 million.

The fair value measurements of PBB's investments are determined by third party service providers (Zions - Liquid Asset Management and Utendahl Capital Management). To validate the accuracy of the portfolio valuation, we utilize independent third parties to price monthly a minimum of 20% of the portfolio balance, ensuring our sample includes all types of securities held in the portfolio. We review the results of the pricing sample to ensure that the initial fair value valuations are accurate. If the pricing can not be validated reasonably (plus or minus 3% for each security and plus or minus 1% for the entire sample), we take action to investigate the differences. We have not adjusted the initial values as variances have been within these tolerance limits. Additionally, we ensure that the fair value measurements are in accordance with SFAS 157 and that we have properly classified our assets in the fair value hierarchy.

We have no investments either directly or indirectly in the sub-prime mortgage market. We have not experienced any write-offs in our investment portfolio. The majority of our mortgage-backed securities are either guaranteed or supported by the U.S. government. The recent market events have not caused our money market funds to experience declines in their net asset value below \$1.00 dollar per share or to incur imposed limits on redemptions.

We have no investments in inactive markets which would warrant a possible change in our pricing methods or classification within the fair value hierarchy. Further, we have no investments in auction rate securities.

### **Derivative Instruments**

In the normal course of business, we are exposed to the impact of interest rate changes and foreign currency fluctuations. The company limits these risks by following established risk management policies and procedures, including the use of derivatives. We use derivatives to manage the related cost of debt and to limit the effects of foreign exchange rate fluctuations on financial results. We do not use derivatives for trading or speculative purposes.

As required by SFAS 157, we have incorporated counterparty risk into the fair value of our derivative assets and our credit risk into the value of our derivative liabilities. We derive credit risk from observable data related to credit default swaps. In light of the current market events, we have not seen a material change in the creditworthiness of those banks acting as derivative counterparties.

The valuation of our interest rate swaps is based on the income approach using a model with inputs that are observable or that can be derived from or corroborated by observable market data. Our foreign exchange derivatives are measured at fair value using observable market inputs, such as forward rates.

The following is a summary of our derivative fair values at June 30, 2009:

Designation of Derivatives	Balance Sheet Location	Fa	air Value
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments	Other current assets and prepayments:	_	
	Foreign exchange contracts	\$	574
	Other assets:		
	Interest rate swaps		8,374
	Accounts payable and accrued liabilities:		
	Foreign exchange contracts		1,365
	Other non-current liabilities:		
	Interest rate swaps		259
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments	Other current assets and prepayments:		
	Foreign exchange contracts		173
	Accounts payable and accrued liabilities:		
	Foreign exchange contracts		34,815
	Total Derivative Assets	\$	9,121
	Total Derivative Liabilities	\$	36,439
	Total Net Derivative Liabilities	\$	27,318
	22		

### Interest Rate Swaps

Derivatives designated as fair value hedges include interest rate swaps related to fixed rate debt. Changes in the fair value of both the derivative and item being hedged are recognized in income.

On June 29, 2009, we entered into an interest rate swap for an aggregate notional amount of \$100 million to effectively convert our interest payments on a portion of the \$400 million, 4.625% fixed rate notes due in 2012, into variable interest rates. The variable rates payable are based on one month LIBOR plus 249 basis points. At June 30, 2009, the fair value of the derivative was a liability of \$0.3 million. Long-term debt was increased by \$0.3 million at June 30, 2009.

In March 2008, we entered into two interest rate swaps for an aggregate notional amount of \$250 million to effectively convert the fixed rate of 5.60% on \$250 million of our notes, due 2018, into variable interest rates. The variable rates payable by us are based on six month LIBOR plus 111.5 basis points. At June 30, 2009, the fair value of the derivatives was an asset of \$8.4 million. Long-term debt was reduced by \$8.4 million at June 30, 2009. At December 31, 2008, the fair value of the derivatives was an asset of \$32.5 million. Long-term debt was reduced by \$32.5 million. at December 31, 2008. The following represents the results of our derivatives in fair value hedging relationships for the three months ended June 30, 2009:

Derivative Instrument	Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized In Income	ve Gain (Loss) ized In Income	Hedged Item Income (Expense) Recognized in Income				
Interest rate swaps	Interest expense	\$ 1,716	\$	(3,500)			

The following represents the results of our derivatives in fair value hedging relationships for the six months ended June 30, 2009:

Derivative Instrument	Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized In Income	ive Gain (Loss) iized In Income	Hedged Item Income (Expense) Recognized in Income		
Interest rate swaps	Interest expense	\$ 3,256	\$	(7,000)	

### Foreign Exchange Contracts

We enter into foreign currency exchange contracts arising from the anticipated purchase of inventory between affiliates. These contracts are designated as cash flow hedges. The effective portion of the gain or loss on the cash flow hedges is included in other comprehensive income in the period that the change in fair value occurs and is reclassified to income in the same period that the hedged item is recorded in income. At June 30, 2009, we had 93 outstanding contracts with a notional amount of \$27.8 million associated with these anticipated transactions and a derivative net liability position of \$0.8 million. We had no outstanding contracts at December 31, 2008.

The following represents the results of cash flow hedging relationships for the three months ended June 30, 2009:

Derivative Instrument	Recog	ve Gain (Loss) inized in OCI ve Portion) (1)	Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI to Income (Effective Portion)			
Foreign exchange contracts Foreign exchange contracts	\$	1,238 (1,477)	Revenue Cost of sales	\$	(47) (308)	
	\$	(239)		\$	(355)	
		22				

The following represents the results of cash flow hedging relationships for the six months ended June 30, 2009:

Derivative Instrument	Recog	ve Gain (Loss) nized in OCI ve Portion) (1)	Location of Derivative Gain (Loss) Reclassified From AOCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI to Income (Effective Portion)			
Foreign exchange contracts	\$	574	Revenue	\$	(47)		
Foreign exchange contracts		(1,365)	Cost of sales		(308)		
	\$	(791)		\$	(355)		

(1) At December 31, 2008, there were no outstanding cash flow hedges and, therefore, the opening AOCI balance related to these types of hedges was \$0. For 2009, there were 7 derivatives that were entered into and settled within the three months ended March 31 and 9 derivatives that were entered into and settled within the three months ended June 30. Thus, these amounts were not recorded to AOCI but were recorded directly to income. For the six months ended June 30, these derivatives reduced revenue in the amount of \$0.1 million and increased cost of sales in the amount of \$0.3 million. For the three months ended June 30, these derivatives increased revenue in the amount of \$0.2 million and reduced cost of sales in the amount of \$0.3 million

As of June 30, 2009, \$0.5 million of the \$0.8 million derivative loss recognized in OCI will be recognized in income within the next 12 months.

No amount of ineffectiveness was recorded in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for these designated cash flow hedges.

We also enter into foreign exchange contracts to minimize the impact of exchange rate fluctuations on intercompany loans and related interest that are denominated in a foreign currency. The revaluation of the short-term intercompany loans and interest and the mark-to-market on the derivatives are both recorded to income. At June 30, 2009, we had 23 outstanding foreign exchange contracts to buy or sell various currencies with a net liability value of \$34.6 million. The contracts will expire by November 10, 2009. At December 31, 2008, the liability value of these derivatives was \$0.1 million. The following represents the results of our non-designated derivative instruments for the three months ended June 30, 2009:

Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments	Location of Derivative Gain (Loss)		ve Gain (Loss) ized in Income	
Foreign exchange contracts	\$	(34,534)		
The following represents the results of our non-designated of	derivative instruments for the six months ended June 30,	2009:		
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments	Location of Derivative Gain (Loss)		vative Gain (Loss) ognized in Income	
Foreign exchange contracts	Selling, general and administrative expense	\$	(35,564)	
- cragar arrange comments	ocining, general and administrative expense	•	(00,001)	

### Net Investment Hedges

One of our intercompany loans denominated in a foreign currency is designated as a hedge of a net investment. The revaluation of this loan is reflected as a deferred translation gain or loss and thereby offsets a portion of the translation adjustment of the applicable foreign subsidiaries' net assets. At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we had one intercompany loan with an outstanding value of \$84.1 million and \$119.2 million, respectively, designated as a net investment hedge. Deferred translation gains of \$29.8 million and \$41.7 million at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, were included in accumulated other comprehensive loss in stockholders' deficit on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. The following represents our net investment hedge at June 30, 2009:

Net Investment Hedging Relationships	Loa	ın Balance	Location of Deferred Translation Gain (Loss)	Tr	Deferred Translation Gain (Loss)		
Non-derivative intercompany loan		84,114	Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income		29,787		

### Credit-Risk-Related Contingent Features

At June 30, 2009, Pitney Bowes maintained investment grade ratings of A / A1. Certain of our derivative instruments contain provisions that would require us to post collateral upon a significant downgrade in our long-term senior unsecured debt ratings. Based on derivative values at June 30, 2009, we would have been required to post \$35.4 million in collateral had our long-term senior unsecured debt ratings fallen below BB- / Ba3.

### Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The estimated fair value of our financial instruments follows:

	June 30, 2009				December 31, 2008			
	Carrying value (1) Fair		Fair value	Carrying value (1)			Fair value	
Investment securities	\$	311,042	\$	312,156	\$	251,298	\$	252,776
Loans receivable	\$	476,288	\$	476,288	\$	528,800	\$	528,800
Long-term debt	\$	(4,270,298)	\$	(4,357,897)	\$	(3,990,134)	\$	(3,880,418)
Derivatives, net	\$	(27,318)	\$	(27,318)	\$	874	\$	874

(1) Carrying value includes accrued interest and deferred fee income, where applicable.

The fair value of long-term debt is estimated based on quoted dealer prices for the same or similar issues. The carrying value for cash, cash equivalents, accounts receivable, loans receivable, accounts payable and notes payable approximate fair value because of the short maturity of these instruments.

### 18. Commitments and Contingencies

In the ordinary course of business, we are routinely defendants in or party to a number of pending and threatened legal actions. These may involve litigation by or against us relating to, among other things, contractual rights under vendor, insurance or other contracts; intellectual property or patent rights; equipment, service, payment or other disputes with customers; or disputes with employees. Some of these actions may be brought as a purported class action on behalf of a purported class of employees, customers or others.

Our wholly-owned subsidiary, Imagitas, Inc., is a defendant in ten purported class actions filed in six different states. These lawsuits have been coordinated in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida, In re: Imagitas, Driver's Privacy Protection Act Litigation (Coordinated, May 28, 2007). Each of these lawsuits alleges that the Imagitas DriverSource program violated the federal Drivers Privacy Protection Act (DPPA). Under the DriverSource program, Imagitas entered into contracts with state governments to mail out automobile registration renewal materials along with third party advertisements, without revealing the personal information of any state resident to any advertiser. The DriverSource program assisted the state in performing its governmental function of delivering these mailings and funding the costs of them. The plaintiffs in these actions are seeking both statutory damages under the DPPA and an injunction against the continuation of the program. On April 9, 2008, the District Court granted Imagitas' motion for summary judgment in one of the coordinated cases, Rine, et al. v. Imagitas, Inc. (United States District

Court, Middle District of Florida, filed August 1, 2006). On July 30, 2008, the District Court issued a final judgment in the Rine lawsuit and stayed all of the other cases filed against Imagitas pending an appellate decision in Rine. On August 27, 2008, the Rine plaintiffs filed an appeal of the District Court's decision in the United States Court of Appeals, Eleventh Judicial Circuit. The appellate process in this case is proceeding.

We expect to prevail in the lawsuits against Imagitas; however, as litigation is inherently unpredictable, there can be no assurance in this regard. If the plaintiffs do prevail, the results may have a material effect on our financial position, future results of operations or cash flows, including, for example, our ability to offer certain types of goods or services in the future.

### Product Warranties

We provide product warranties in conjunction with certain product sales, generally for a period of 90 days from the date of installation. Our product warranty liability reflects our best estimate of probable liability for product warranties based on historical claims experience, which has not been significant, and other currently available evidence. Accordingly, our product warranty liability at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, was not material.

### Item 2: Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) contains statements that are forward-looking. These statements are based on current expectations and assumptions that are subject to risks and uncertainties. Actual results could differ materially because of factors discussed in "Forward-Looking Statements" and elsewhere in this report.

The following analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with Pitney Bowes' Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained in this report and Pitney Bowes' Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

### Overview

For the second quarter, revenue decreased 13% to \$1.38 billion due to continuing challenging global economic conditions and the negative impact of foreign currency translation, which negatively impacted revenue growth by 5%. Acquisitions positively impacted revenue growth by less than 1%

Income from continuing operations attributable to Pitney Bowes Inc. common stockholders was \$112.2 million or \$0.54 per diluted share as compared with \$0.63 earnings per diluted share in the second quarter of 2008. Income from continuing operations in the second quarter of 2009 included a non-cash tax charge associated with out-of-the money stock options that expired during the quarter of less than 1 cent per diluted share. Income from continuing operations in the second quarter of 2008 included restructuring charges and asset impairments of 6 cents per diluted share and the favorable settlement of a legal matter in Europe of 3 cents per diluted share.

Despite volatile and difficult global economic conditions which resulted in a decline in revenue growth for the quarter in the majority of our business segments, we were able to grow our net cash provided by operating activities by 3 percent to \$483.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 when compared to the same period in 2008. We also reduced our debt by \$178.6 million during the six months ended June 30, 2009. EBIT margins, which were down from 2008, have increased in 6 of our 7 segments when compared to first quarter of 2009.

We remain focused on cost controls and reduced our SG&A expense by over \$73 million, despite significant headwinds from the negative impacts of both foreign currencies and increased pension costs when compared to the prior year.

See "Results of Operations – Second Quarter of 2009 Compared to Second Quarter of 2008" for a more detailed discussion of our results of operations.

#### Outlook

Economic and business conditions in mail-intensive industries have not been improving and have actually declined further in some key geographies. Sales cycles for most capital purchase decisions by customers remain long. These factors have impacted our financial results, as the sustained economic downturn has had a negative effect on high-margin financing, rental, and supplies revenue streams. While the company has been successful in reducing its cost structure across its entire business and is shifting to a more variable cost structure, these actions have not been enough to offset the impact of lower revenue.

We continue to expect our mix of revenue to change, with a greater percentage of revenue coming from diversified revenue streams associated with fully featured smaller systems and a smaller percentage from larger system sales. We expect that our 2009 reported results will continue to be negatively impacted by the strengthened U.S. dollar and by the increase in pension costs related to recent changes in capital markets and assumptions used to calculate pension liabilities. We continue to remain focused on enhancing our productivity and evaluating additional opportunities within our businesses while continuing to allocate capital in order to optimize our returns.

### Results of Operations - Second Quarter of 2009 compared to Second Quarter of 2008

#### **Business seament results**

The following table shows revenue and earnings before interest and taxes ("EBIT") by segment for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008:

(Dollars in thousands)

		Revenue						EBIT (1)						
		Three	Mont	ths Ended June	e 30,	Three Months Ended June 30,								
		2009	2008		% change	2009		2008		% change				
U.S. Mailing	\$	505,159	\$	550,849	(8)%	\$	195,044	\$	220,526	(12)%				
International Mailing		217,900		302,085	(28)%		27,069		51,462	(47)%				
Production Mail		130,137		149,400	(13)%		10,413		15,350	(32)%				
Software	_	82,823	_	102,250	(19)%		5,219		6,317	(17)%				
Mailstream Solutions	_	936,019	_	1,104,584	(15)%	_	237,745	_	293,655	(19)%				
Management Services		263,763		300,454	(12)%		16,140		18,230	(11)%				
Mail Services		138,598		134,764	3 %		21,723		15,980	36%				
Marketing Services	_	40,082	_	48,284	(17)%	_	3,147	_	3,527	(11)%				
Mailstream Services	_	442,443	_	483,502	(8)%	_	41,010	_	37,737	9%				
Total	\$ 1	1,378,462	\$	1,588,086	(13)%	\$	278,755	\$	331,392	(16)%				

<sup>(1)</sup> See reconciliation of segment amounts to Income from continuing operations before income taxes in Note 7 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

During the second quarter of 2009, Mailstream Solutions revenue decreased 15% to \$936 million and EBIT decreased 19% to \$238 million, compared to the prior year. Within Mailstream Solutions:

U.S. Mailing's revenue decreased 8% primarily due to fewer placements of mailing equipment as customers continued to delay purchases of new equipment and extended leases on existing equipment due to the economic conditions. Revenue continues to be adversely affected by the ongoing changing mix to more fully featured smaller systems. Additionally, revenue continues to be impacted by an increase in lease renewals, which has a positive impact on profit margins but negatively impacts revenue in the current period. U.S. Mailing's EBIT decreased 12% principally due to lower financing revenue, meter rentals, and supplies sales because of lower business activity levels over the last year. International Mailing revenue decreased 28%, with 14% of this decline driven by the unfavorable impact of foreign currency translation. The remaining decrease was due to weak economic conditions internationally which appear to be lagging the U.S., particularly in Canada, Asia and certain key markets in Europe. This has resulted in ongoing deferred capital purchases for mailing equipment and delays by customers in adding new services. International Mailing's EBIT declined 47% to \$27.1 million, primarily driven by our Canada and European operations, changes in currency which increased product costs and the unfavorable comparison to the settlement of a legal matter in 2008 for \$7.5 million, which positively impacted EBIT in the prior year. Revenue for Production Mail decreased 13%, partly due to the unfavorable impact of foreign currency translation of 6%, and also as a result of lower equipment sales in the U.S., France, and Asia Pacific as economic uncertainty continues to delay large-ticket capital expenditures for many large enterprises worldwide. As a result, customers are keeping existing equipment longer than usual, which resulted in an increase in service revenue. Production Mail's EBIT decreased 32% driven by lower revenues and a shift in product mix to lower margin products. This was partially offset by an improved service margin due to prior year cost reduction initiatives and price increases on longer-service equipment. Software's revenue decreased 19%, with 7% of this decline driven by the unfavorable impact of foreign currency translation. The remaining decrease is principally due to consolidation in the financial services industry and slowness in the retail sector worldwide which continues to adversely impact the sales and renewal of software licenses. Uncertainty surrounding the economy has resulted in many large multi-national organizations changing their approval policies for capital expenditures, which has lengthened the sales cycle. Software's EBIT decreased 17%. Ongoing cost reduction measures helped offset the pressure on margin due to lower revenue and a mix of lower margin software sales.

During the second quarter of 2009, Mailstream Services revenue decreased 8% to \$442 million and EBIT increased 9% to \$41 million, compared to the prior year. Within Mailstream Services:

Management Services revenue decreased 12%, of which 4% was driven by the unfavorable impact of foreign currency translation. The segment's revenue was also adversely affected by lower business activity and decreased print and transaction volumes throughout the U.S. and Europe. Management Services EBIT decreased by 11% primarily due to lower transaction volumes worldwide. In the U.S., EBIT as a percentage of revenue remained at 10% despite lower business activity and a decline in transaction volumes, which resulted in lower revenue. Outside the U.S., the company's high exposure to the weak financial services industry in the U.K., and overall reduced print volumes throughout most of Europe resulted in an overall decline in the segment's EBIT. Mail Services revenue grew 3% mostly due to acquisitions which contributed 3% and was partly offset by the unfavorable impact of foreign currency translation of 1%. Expansion of the customer base and continued growth in mail volume processed drove the increase in revenue for the quarter. Mail Services EBIT increased by 36% driven by the integration of Mail Services sites acquired last year and ongoing cost reduction actions taken by the business. Marketing Services revenue decreased 17%, mostly due to the impact of lower revenues associated with the areas of marketing campaign management and loyalty programs. Marketing Services EBIT decreased 11%, however ongoing cost reduction initiatives resulted in EBIT margin improvement in 2009.

### Revenue by source

The following table shows revenue by source for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008:

(Dollars in thousands)

	110	ce monaio Ended dune de,				
	2009		2008	% change		
Equipment sales	\$ 257,196	\$	311,650	(17)%		
Supplies	81,973		101,286	(19)%		
Software	87,380		109,120	(20)%		
Rentals	156,151		185,855	(16)%		
Financing	174,508		197,263	(12)%		
Support services	179,246		194,955	(8)%		
Business services	442,008		487,957	(8)% (9)%		
Total revenue	\$ 1,378,462	\$	1,588,086	(13)%		

Three Months Ended June 30

Equipment sales revenue decreased 17% compared to the prior year mostly due to fewer placements of mailing equipment as customers delayed purchases of new equipment and extended leases on existing equipment due to the economic conditions. Revenue also continues to be adversely affected by the ongoing changing mix in equipment placements to more fully featured smaller systems. Foreign currency translation had an unfavorable impact of 6%.

Supplies revenue decreased 19% compared to the prior year due to lower supplies usage resulting from lower mail volumes and fewer installed meters due to customer consolidations in the U.S. and internationally. Foreign currency translation had an unfavorable impact of 6%.

Software revenue decreased 20% compared to the prior year due to the impact of the global economic slowdown which has caused many businesses to delay their capital spending worldwide, thus impacting software revenues. Foreign currency translation had an unfavorable impact of 7%.

Rentals revenue decreased 16% compared to the prior year. In the U.S., customers continue to downsize to smaller, fully featured machines. We also see weakening economic conditions affecting our international rental markets of Canada and France. Foreign currency translation had an unfavorable impact of 3%.

Financing revenue decreased 12% compared to the prior year. Foreign currency translation had an unfavorable impact of 4%. In addition, lower equipment sales have resulted in a corresponding decline in our lease portfolios.

Support services revenue decreased 8% compared to the prior year, principally due to the unfavorable impact of foreign currency translation of

Business services revenue decreased 9% compared to the prior year due to lower transaction volumes at Management Services and Marketing Services. The unfavorable impact of foreign currency translation of 3% was partly offset by the positive impact of acquisitions which contributed 1%.

#### Costs and expenses

(Dollars in thousands)

	1	Three Months Ended June 30,					
		2009		2008			
Cost of equipment sales	\$	139,770	\$	166,282			
Cost of supplies	\$	21,369	\$	26,419			
Cost of software	\$	21,570	\$	26,453			
Cost of rentals	\$	38,013	\$	39,671			
Financing interest expense	\$	25,438	\$	27,552			
Cost of support services	\$	101,223	\$	115,931			
Cost of business services	\$	352,306	\$	383,009			
Selling, general and administrative	\$	424,265	\$	497,689			
Research and development	\$	46,622	\$	53,168			

Cost of equipment sales as a percentage of revenue was 54.3% in the second quarter of 2009 compared with 53.4% in the prior year, primarily due to the unfavorable mix of lower margin equipment sales in Production Mail, which were partly offset by a favorable mix of higher margin equipment sales in International Mailing.

Cost of supplies as a percentage of revenue was unchanged at 26.1% in the second quarter of 2009 compared with the prior year.

Cost of software as a percentage of revenue was 24.7% in the second quarter of 2009 compared with 24.2% in the prior year due to an unfavorable mix.

Cost of rentals as a percentage of revenue was 24.3% in the second quarter of 2009 compared with 21.3% in the prior year primarily due to the fixed costs associated with meter depreciation on lower revenues in both the U.S. Mailing and International Mailing segments.

Financing interest expense as a percentage of revenue was 14.6% in the second quarter of 2009 compared with 14.0% in the prior year primarily due to a slightly higher interest rate and lower finance receivables levels. In computing our financing interest expense, which represents our cost of borrowing associated with the generation of financing revenues, we assumed a 10:1 leveraging ratio of debt to equity and applied our overall effective interest rate to the average outstanding finance receivables.

Cost of support services as a percentage of revenue was 56.5% in the second quarter of 2009 compared with 59.5% in the prior year due to margin improvements in Production Mail driven by the positive impacts of ongoing cost reduction initiatives and price increases on longer-service equipment.

Cost of business services as a percentage of revenue was 79.7% in the second quarter of 2009 compared with 78.5% in the prior year. This is due to lower volumes of higher margin print and transaction activity which has negatively impacted Management Services.

Selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") expenses as a percentage of revenue was 30.8% in the second quarter of 2009 compared with 31.3% in the prior year. SG&A expense declined \$73.4 million, primarily as a result of our cost reduction initiatives which contributed 7% and the positive impact of foreign currency translation of 5%.

Research and development expenses decreased \$6.5 million from the prior year, \$2.4 million of which related to foreign currency translation. The decline in overall spending is due to the wind-down of duplicate costs related to our transition to offshore development capabilities.

### Restructuring charges and asset impairments

Pre-tax restructuring reserves at June 30, 2009 are composed of the following:

(Dollars in thousands)

		Balance at March 31, 2009		Expenses		Cash ayments		Non-cash charges	Balance at June 30, 2009		
Severance and benefit costs Other exit costs	\$	80,115 28,293	\$	_	\$	(13,948) (2,461)	\$	_	\$	66,167 25,832	
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		•		•		•		
Total	Ф	108,408	Þ	_	Þ	(16,409)	Þ	_	Þ	91,999	

We recorded pre-tax restructuring charges and asset impairments during 2008 and 2007. These charges primarily related to a program we announced in November 2007 to lower our cost structure, accelerate efforts to improve operational efficiencies, and transition our product line.

As of June 30, 2009, 2,743 terminations have occurred under this program and approximately 300 additional positions have been eliminated. The majority of the liability at June 30, 2009 is expected to be paid by the end of 2009 from cash generated from operations.

### Other interest expense

Other interest expense for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008:

(Dollars in thousands)

	2009	 2008	% change
\$	29,553	\$ 30,137	(2)%

Three Months Ended June 30,

Other interest expense decreased by \$0.6 million or 2% in the second quarter of 2009 compared to the prior year. This is driven primarily by lower average borrowings.

#### Income taxes

The effective tax rate for the second quarter of 2009 was 34.9% compared with 34.1% in the prior year. The 2009 tax rate was increased by a \$0.9 million write-off of deferred tax assets associated with the expiration of out-of-the-money vested stock options and the vesting of stock units previously granted to our employees.

### Discontinued operations

The following table shows selected financial information included in discontinued operations for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively:

(Dollars in thousands)

		Three Months I	s Ended June 30,		
	_	2009	2008		
gain (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	\$	5,102	\$	(2,831)	

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, \$10.9 million of pre-tax income, net of \$4.2 million in tax, represents the release of reserves related to the expiration of an indemnity agreement in April 2009 associated with the sale of our Capital Services portfolio in 2006. This income was partially offset by the accrual of interest on uncertain tax positions. The net loss for the three months ended June 30, 2008 relates to the accrual of interest on uncertain tax positions.

### Noncontrolling interests (Preferred stock dividends of subsidiaries)

The following table details dividends paid to preferred stockholders for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008:

(Dollars in thousands)

Three Months Ended June 30,

2009
2008
\$ 4,571 \$ 4,796

Preferred stock dividends of subsidiaries

### Results of Operations - Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 compared to Six Months Ended December 31, 2008

### Revenue by source

(Dollars in thousands)

Six Months Ended June 30,

	2009		2008		% change	
Equipment sales	\$	489,021	\$	614,363	(20)%	
Supplies		170,002		208,886	(19)%	
Software		167,106		214,525	(22)%	
Rentals		324,281		370,808	(13)%	
Financing		357,306		396,202	(10)%	
Support services		353,593		386,480	(9)%	
Business services		896,737		970,779	(8)%	
Total revenue	\$	2,758,046	\$	3,162,043	(13)%	

Equipment sales revenue decreased 20% compared to the prior year due to lower placements of mailing equipment as more customers have delayed purchases of new equipment and extended their leases on existing equipment due to the economic conditions. Revenue also continues to be adversely affected by the ongoing changing mix in equipment placements to more fully featured smaller systems. Foreign currency translation had an unfavorable impact of 7%.

Supplies revenue decreased 19% compared to the prior year due to lower supplies usage resulting from lower mail volumes and fewer installed meters due to customer consolidations. Foreign currency translation had an unfavorable impact of 6%.

Software revenue decreased 22% compared to the prior year primarily due to the impact of the global economic slowdown which has caused many businesses to delay their capital spending worldwide, thus impacting our software revenues. Foreign currency translation had an unfavorable impact of 9%.

Rentals revenue decreased 13% compared to the prior year as customers in the U.S. continue to downsize to smaller, fully featured machines. We also see weakening economic conditions affecting our international rental markets specifically in France and Canada. Foreign currency translation had an unfavorable impact of 3%.

Financing revenue decreased 10% compared to the prior year due to lower equipment sales which have resulted in a corresponding decline in our lease portfolios. Foreign currency translation had an unfavorable impact of 5%.

Support services revenue decreased 9% compared to the prior year, principally due to the unfavorable impact of foreign currency translation of 7%.

Business services revenue decreased 8% compared to the prior year. Lower volumes at Management Services more than offset the increase in mail volumes processed at Mail Services. The unfavorable impact of foreign currency translation of 3% was partly offset by the positive impact of acquisitions which contributed 2%.

#### Costs and expenses

(Dollars in thousands)

	Six Month's Ended Julie 30,				
	 2009		2008		
Cost of equipment sales	\$ 262,855	\$	327,395		
Cost of supplies	\$ 44,710	\$	54,291		
Cost of software	\$ 41,067	\$	54,190		
Cost of rentals	\$ 73,864	\$	77,975		
Financing interest expense	\$ 49,890	\$	57,928		
Cost of support services	\$ 199,549	\$	229,926		
Cost of business services	\$ 712,213	\$	762,300		
Selling, general and administrative	\$ 867,793	\$	994,184		
Research and development	\$ 93,571	\$	103,168		

Cost of equipment sales as a percentage of revenue was 53.8% in the first six months of 2009 compared with 53.3% in the prior year, primarily due to an unfavorable mix of lower margin equipment sales in Production Mail. This was partly offset by higher margin equipment sales in International Mailing.

Six Months Ended June 30

Cost of supplies as a percentage of revenue was 26.3% in the first six months of 2009 compared with 26.0% in the prior year due to the lower sales volume and product mix.

Cost of software as a percentage of revenue was 24.6% in the first six months of 2009 compared with 25.3% in the prior year due to a favorable mix.

Cost of rentals as a percentage of revenue was 22.8% in the first six months of 2009 compared with 21.0% in the prior year primarily due to the fixed costs associated with meter depreciation on lower revenues.

Financing interest expense as a percentage of revenue was 14.0% in the first six months of 2009 compared with 14.6% in the prior year due to lower interest rates and lower finance receivables levels. In computing our financing interest expense, which represents our cost of borrowing associated with the generation of financing revenues, we assumed a 10:1 leveraging ratio of debt to equity and applied our overall effective interest rate to the average outstanding finance receivables.

Cost of support services as a percentage of revenue was 56.4% in the first six months of 2009 compared with 59.5% in the prior year due to margin improvements in U.S. Mailing, International Mailing and Production Mail driven by the positive impacts of ongoing cost reduction initiatives and price increases on longer-service equipment in Production Mail.

Cost of business services as a percentage of revenue was 79.4% in the first six months of 2009 compared with 78.5% in the prior year. This is due to lower volumes of higher margin print and transaction activity which has negatively impacted Management Services.

Selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") expenses as a percentage of revenue was 31.5% in the first six months of 2009 compared with 31.4% in the prior year. SG&A expense declined \$126.4 million primarily as a result of our cost reduction initiatives which contributed 6% and the positive impact of foreign currency translation of 6%. However, the impact of the lower revenues and increased pension costs more than offset these benefits.

Research and development expenses decreased \$9.6 million in the first six months of 2009 from the prior year, \$5.3 million of which related to foreign currency translation. As a percentage of revenue, research and development expenses were slightly higher than the prior year as we continue to invest in developing new technologies, enhancing our products, and expanding our offshore development capabilities.

### Restructuring charges and asset impairments

Pre-tax restructuring reserves at June 30, 2009 are composed of the following:

(Dollars in thousands)

	cember 31, 2008	 Expenses	p	Cash ayments	Non-cash charges	alance at lune 30, 2009
Severance and benefit costs	\$ 108,431	\$ _	\$	(42,264)	\$ _	\$ 66,167
Other exit costs	 32,678	 		(6,846)		25,832
Total	\$ 141,109	\$ _	\$	(49,110)	\$ _	\$ 91,999

We recorded pre-tax restructuring charges and asset impairments during 2008 and 2007. These charges primarily related to a program we announced in November 2007 to lower our cost structure, accelerate efforts to improve operational efficiencies, and transition our product line.

As of June 30, 2009, 2,743 terminations have occurred under this program and approximately 300 additional positions have been eliminated. The majority of the liability at June 30, 2009 is expected to be paid by the end of 2009 from cash generated from operations.

#### Other interest expense

Other interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008:

(Dollars in thousands)

	Six Months Ended June 30,						
2009 2008		2008	% change				
\$	57,304	\$	61,528	(7)%			

Other interest expense decreased 7% in the first six months of 2009 compared to the prior year due to lower interest rates and lower average borrowings.

#### Income taxes

The effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2009 was 37.7% compared with 35.6% in the prior year. The 2009 tax rate was increased by a \$12.0 million write-off of deferred tax assets associated with the expiration of out-of-the-money vested stock options and the vesting of stock units previously granted to our employees. The 2008 effective tax rate was negatively impacted by a \$6.5 million tax accrual associated with lease refunds in the U.K. and Ireland.

### Discontinued operations

The following table shows selected financial information included in discontinued operations for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively:

Six Months Ended June 30

(Dollars in thousands)

		DIX MONUIS EI	10 30,		
	·	2009		2008	
Net gain (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	:	\$ 7,725	\$	(6,663)	

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, \$10.9 million of pre-tax income, net of \$4.2 million in tax, represents the release of reserves related to the expiration of an indemnity agreement in April 2009 associated with the sale of our Capital Services portfolio in 2006 and \$9.8 million of pre-tax income, net of \$3.8 million in tax, for a bankruptcy settlement received during the first quarter of 2009 pertaining to the leasing of certain aircraft from our former Capital Services business which was sold in 2006. This income was partly offset by the accrual of interest on uncertain tax positions. The net loss for the six months ended June 30, 2008 relates to the accrual of interest on uncertain tax positions.

#### Noncontrolling interests (Preferred stock dividends of subsidiaries)

The following table details dividends paid to preferred stockholders for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008:

(Dollars in thousands)

 Six Months Ended June 30,			
2009		2008	
\$ 9 092	\$	9 594	

Preferred stock dividends of subsidiaries

#### Liquidity and Capital Resources

We believe that cash flow from operations, existing cash and liquid investments, as well as borrowing capacity under our commercial paper program, the existing credit facility and debt capital markets should be sufficient to finance our capital requirements and to cover our customer deposits. Our potential uses of cash include but are not limited to the following: growth and expansion opportunities; internal investments; customer financing; tax payments; interest and dividend payments; pension and other benefit plan funding; acquisitions; and share repurchase program.

In light of recent market events, we have conducted an extensive review of our liquidity provisions. We have carefully monitored for material changes in the creditworthiness of those banks acting as derivative counterparties, depository banks or credit providers to us through credit ratings and the credit default swap market. We have determined that there has not been a material variation in the underlying sources of cash flows currently used to finance the operations of the company. To date, we have had consistent access to the commercial paper market.

#### **Cash Flow Summary**

The change in cash and cash equivalents is as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)

	Six Months Ended June 30,			
	_	2009 2008		2008
Cash provided by operating activities	\$	483,387	\$	470,448
Cash used in investing activities		(89,520)		(134,603)
Cash used in financing activities		(331,187)		(286,440)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	_	5,911	_	2,831
Increase in cash and cash equivalents	\$	68,591	\$	52,236

# 2009 Cash Flows

Net cash provided by operating activities consists primarily of net income adjusted for non-cash items and changes in operating assets and liabilities. Cash provided by operating activities included decreases in finance receivables and accounts receivable balances of \$165.1 million and \$99.0 million, respectively, resulting from lower levels of new business and strong collections. Partially offsetting these positive cash flow impacts was a reduction in accounts payable and accrued liabilities of \$167.6 million, primarily due to lower compensation accruals as well as \$49.1 million in restructuring payments associated with the prior year cost reduction initiatives and a \$20.3 million payment for the unwinding of derivatives related to the March 2009 debt issuance. See Note 14 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion of the restructuring payments.

Net cash used in investing activities consisted principally of capital expenditures of \$90.2 million.

Net cash used in financing activities consisted primarily of a decrease in notes payable of \$476.1 million due to the repayment of commercial paper, which was partially offset by the proceeds from long term obligations of \$297.5 million related to the March 2009 debt issuance. Dividends paid to stockholders were \$148.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

#### 2008 Cash Flows

Net cash provided by operating activities consisted primarily of net income adjusted for non-cash items and changes in operating assets and liabilities. The net increase in our current and non-current income taxes contributed \$48.8 million to cash from operations resulting from the timing of tax payments. A decrease in our internal finance receivables of \$52.2 million and an increase in advance billings of \$53.2 million also contributed to the increase in operating cash flow. The decrease in accounts payable and accrued liabilities of \$85.2 million, primarily due to the payment of year-end incentive compensation and commissions partially offset by

additional restructuring reserves, and an increase in inventory of \$12.3 million, partly due to the required build of new fully digital, networked, and remotely-downloadable equipment, reduced our cash flow from operations. The increase in accounts receivable of \$28.8 million resulted from acquisitions, the timing of billings, as sales at the end of June were higher than at the end of March, and the timing of collections.

Net cash used in investing activities consisted principally of capital expenditures of \$115.3 million combined with acquisitions of \$68.5 million partially offset by increased reserve account balances for customer deposits of \$18.5 million and a reduction in short-term investments of \$28.2 million.

Net cash used in financing activities consisted primarily of dividends paid to stockholders of \$146.7 million and stock repurchases of \$272.4 million, partially offset by proceeds from issuance of stock of \$11.4 million and a net increase in notes payable and long-term obligations of \$130.8 million.

#### Capital Expenditures

During the first six months of 2009, capital expenditures included \$45.2 million in net additions to property, plant and equipment and \$45.0 million in net additions to rental equipment and related inventories compared with \$58.2 million and \$57.1 million, respectively, in the same period in 2008.

#### Financings and Capitalization

We have a commercial paper program that is a significant source of liquidity for the Company. During 2009, we have continued to have consistent access to the commercial paper market. As of June 30, 2009, we had \$134 million of outstanding commercial paper issuances. We also have a committed line of credit of \$1.5 billion which supports commercial papers issuance and is provided by a syndicate of 14 banks until 2011. As of June 30, 2009, this line of credit had not been drawn down. In addition, we filed a Well-Known Seasoned Issuer registration statement with the SEC in June 2008 which permits the issuance of debt securities, preferred stock, preference stock, common stock, purchase contracts, depositary shares, warrants and units.

On June 29, 2009, we entered into an interest rate swap for an aggregate notional amount of \$100 million to effectively convert our interest payments on a portion of the \$400 million, 4.625% fixed rate notes due in 2012, into variable interest rates. The variable rates payable are based on one month LIBOR plus 249 basis points. In July 2009, we entered into three additional interest rate swaps for an aggregate notional amount of \$300 million to effectively convert our interest payments on the remainder of the \$400 million, 4.625% fixed rate notes due in 2012, into variable interest rates. The variable rates payable are based on one month LIBOR plus 248 basis points for \$100 million notional amount and one month LIBOR plus 250 basis points for \$200 million notional amount.

On March 2, 2009, we issued \$300 million of 10-year fixed-rate notes with a coupon rate of 6.25%. The interest is paid semi-annually beginning September 15, 2009. The notes mature on March 15, 2019. We simultaneously unwound four forward starting swap agreements (forward swaps) used to hedge the interest rate risk associated with the forecasted issuance of the fixed-rate debt. The unwind of the derivatives resulted in a loss (and cash payment) of \$20.3 million which was recorded to other comprehensive income, net of tax, and will be amortized to net interest expense over the 10-year term of the notes. The proceeds from these notes were used for general corporate purposes, including the repayment of commercial paper.

On March 4, 2008, we issued \$250 million of 10-year fixed-rate notes with a coupon rate of 5.60%. The interest is paid semi-annually beginning September 2008. The notes mature on March 15, 2018. We simultaneously entered into two interest rate swaps for a total notional amount of \$250 million to convert the fixed rate debt to a floating rate obligation bearing interest at 6 month LIBOR plus 111.5 basis points. The proceeds from these notes were used for general corporate purposes, including the repayment of commercial paper and repurchase of our stock.

The 8.55% notes with a \$150 million face value are due to be repaid on September 15, 2009. The repayment of these notes will be funded through cash generated from operations and issuance of commercial paper. The notes are reported in current portion of long-term debt at June 30, 2009. No additional long-term notes will mature until 2012.

We believe our financing needs in the short and long-term can be met from cash generated internally, the issuance of commercial paper, debt issuance under our effective shelf registration statement and borrowing capacity under our existing credit agreements.

#### Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 157, Fair Value Measurements ("SFAS 157"), to define how the fair value of assets and liabilities should be measured in accounting standards where it is allowed or

required. In addition to defining fair value, the Statement established a framework within GAAP for measuring fair value and expanded required disclosures surrounding fair value measurements. In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) FAS 157-2, Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157, which delayed the effective date by one year for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis. In October 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS 157-3, Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset Is Not Active, to clarify the application of SFAS 157 in a market that is not active and provides an example to illustrate key considerations in determining the fair value of a financial asset when the market for that financial asset is not active. This FSP was effective immediately. In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS 157-4, Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly, to provide additional guidance for estimating fair value when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability have significantly decreased. This FSP is effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. We adopted SFAS 157 for financial assets and financial liabilities on January 1, 2008, and the adoption did not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows. We currently do not have any financial assets that are valued using inactive markets, and as such are not impacted by the issuances of FSP 157-3 and FSP 157-4. See Note 17 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion on fair value measurements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), *Business Combinations* ("SFAS 141(R)"). SFAS 141(R) establishes principles and requirements for how a company (a) recognizes and measures in their financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest (previously referred to as minority interest); (b) recognizes and measures the goodwill acquired in a business combination or a gain from a bargain purchase; and (c) determines what information to disclose to enable users of the financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of a business combination. SFAS 141(R) requires fair value measurements at the date of acquisition, with limited exceptions specified in the Statement. Some of the major impacts of this new standard include expense recognition for transaction costs and restructuring costs. SFAS 141(R) was effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008 and is applied prospectively. The adoption of this Statement has not had a material impact on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment of ARB No. 51* ("SFAS 160"). SFAS 160 addresses the accounting and reporting for the outstanding noncontrolling interest (previously referred to as minority interest) in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. It also establishes additional disclosures in the consolidated financial statements that identify and distinguish between the interests of the parent's owners and of the noncontrolling owners of a subsidiary. This Statement is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008. SFAS 160 requires retroactive adoption of the presentation and disclosure requirements for existing minority interests. All other requirements of SFAS 160 are applied prospectively. We adopted the presentation and disclosure requirements of SFAS 160 on a retrospective basis beginning in the first quarter of 2009.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* ("SFAS 161"). SFAS 161 requires enhanced disclosures about (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, and its related interpretations, and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. This Statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. This Statement encourages, but does not require, comparative disclosures for earlier periods at initial adoption. The adoption of this Statement requires us to present currently disclosed information in a tabular format and also expands our disclosures concerning where derivatives are reported on the balance sheet and where gains/losses are recognized in the results of operations. The Company has complied with the disclosure requirements of this Statement beginning in the first quarter of 2009. See Note 17 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for the additional disclosures.

In December 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS 132(R)-1, *Employers' Disclosures about Postretirement Benefit Plan Assets*, which amends Statement No. 132(R) to require more detailed disclosures about employer's plan assets, including investment strategies, major categories of assets, concentrations of risk within plan assets and valuation techniques used to measure the fair value of assets. The FSP is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2009. The Company will comply with the additional disclosure requirements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, *Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*. This FSP amends FASB Statement No. 107, *Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*, to require disclosures about fair value of financial instruments for interim reporting periods of publicly traded companies as well as in annual financial statements. This FSP also amends APB Opinion No. 28, *Interim Financial Reporting*, to require those disclosures in summarized financial information at interim reporting periods. This FSP is effective for interim reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. The

Company has complied with the additional disclosure requirements beginning in the second quarter of 2009. See Note 17 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion on fair value measurements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2, *Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments*. This FSP amends the other-than-temporary impairment guidance in U.S. GAAP for debt securities to make the guidance more operational and to improve the presentation and disclosure of other-than-temporary impairments on debt and equity securities in the financial statements. The FSP does not amend existing recognition and measurement guidance related to other-than-temporary impairments of equity securities. The FSP is effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. The Company currently does not have any financial assets that are other-than-temporary impaired.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 141(R)-1, Accounting for Assets Acquired and Liabilities Assumed in a Business Combination That Arise from Contingencies, to address some of the application issues under SFAS 141(R). The FSP deals with the initial recognition and measurement of an asset acquired or a liability assumed in a business combination that arises from a contingency provided the asset or liability's fair value on the date of acquisition can be determined. When the fair value can't be determined, the FSP requires using the guidance under SFAS No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies, and FASB Interpretation (FIN) No. 14, Reasonable Estimation of the Amount of a Loss. This FSP is effective for assets or liabilities arising from contingencies in business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after January 1, 2009. The adoption of this FSP has not had a material impact on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

In May 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, *Subsequent Events*. SFAS 165 establishes general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. Although there is new terminology, the standard is based on the same principles as those that currently exist in the auditing standards. The standard also includes a required disclosure of the date through which the entity has evaluated subsequent events and whether the evaluation date is the date of issuance or the date the financial statements were available to be issued. The standard is effective for interim or annual periods ending after June 15, 2009. The Company has complied with the disclosure requirements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 168, *The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles - a replacement of FASB Statement No. 162.* The FASB Accounting Standards Codification (Codification) will become the source of authoritative U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities. Rules and interpretive releases of the SEC under authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. On the effective date of this Statement, the Codification will supersede all then-existing non-SEC accounting and reporting standards. All other non-grandfathered non-SEC accounting literature not included in the Codification will become non-authoritative. This Statement is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. The Company will comply with the requirements of the Statement beginning in the third guarter of 2009.

#### **Regulatory Matters**

There have been no significant changes to the regulatory matters disclosed in our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

#### **Forward-Looking Statements**

We want to caution readers that any forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 in this Form 10-K, other reports or press releases or made by our management involve risks and uncertainties which may change based on various important factors. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. These forward-looking statements are those which talk about our current expectations as to the future and include, but are not limited to, statements about the amounts, timing and results of possible restructuring charges and future earnings. Words such as "estimate," "project," "plan," "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "intend," and similar expressions may identify such forward-looking statements. Some of the factors which could cause future financial performance to differ materially from the expectations as expressed in any forward-looking statement made by or on our behalf include:

- · changes in international or national political conditions, including any terrorist attacks
- negative developments in economic conditions, including adverse impacts on customer demand
- changes in postal regulations
- timely development and acceptance of new products
- · success in gaining product approval in new markets where regulatory approval is required
- · successful entry into new markets

- mailers' utilization of alternative means of communication or competitors' products
- · our success at managing customer credit risk
- · our success at managing costs associated with our strategy of outsourcing functions and operations not central to our business
- changes in interest rates
- foreign currency fluctuations
- · cost, timing and execution of our transition plans including any potential asset impairments
- regulatory approvals and satisfaction of other conditions to consummation of any acquisitions and integration of recent acquisitions
- interrupted use of key information systems
- · changes in privacy laws
- intellectual property infringement claims
- · impact on mail volume resulting from current concerns over the use of the mail for transmitting harmful biological agents
- third-party suppliers' ability to provide product components, assemblies or inventories
- · negative income tax adjustments for prior audit years and changes in tax laws or regulations
- changes in pension and retiree medical costs
- acts of nature

#### tem 3: Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

There were no material changes to the disclosures made in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 regarding this matter.

### tem 4: Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures are designed to reasonably assure that information required to be disclosed in reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures are also designed to reasonably assure that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Under the direction of our CEO and CFO, we evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting. The CEO and CFO concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2009. In addition, no change in internal control over financial reporting occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2009, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, such internal control over financial reporting. It should be noted that any system of controls is based in part upon certain assumptions designed to obtain reasonable (and not absolute) assurance as to its effectiveness, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals. Notwithstanding this caution, the disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance of achieving their stated objectives, and the CEO and CFO have concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures are effective at that reasonable assurance level.

#### PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

#### Item 1: Legal Proceedings

In the ordinary course of business, we are routinely defendants in or party to a number of pending and threatened legal actions. These may involve litigation by or against us relating to, among other things, contractual rights under vendor, insurance or other contracts; intellectual property or patent rights; equipment, service, payment or other disputes with customers; or disputes with employees. Some of these actions may be brought as a purported class action on behalf of a purported class of employees, customers or others.

Our wholly-owned subsidiary, Imagitas, Inc., is a defendant in ten purported class actions filed in six different states. These lawsuits have been coordinated in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida, In re: Imagitas, Driver's Privacy Protection Act Litigation (Coordinated, May 28, 2007). Each of these lawsuits alleges that the Imagitas DriverSource program violated the federal Drivers Privacy Protection Act (DPPA). Under the DriverSource program, Imagitas entered into contracts with state governments to mail out automobile registration renewal materials along with third party advertisements, without revealing the personal information of any state resident to any advertiser. The DriverSource program assisted the state in performing its governmental function of delivering these mailings and funding the costs of them. The plaintiffs in these actions are seeking both statutory damages under the DPPA and an injunction against the continuation of the program. On April 9, 2008, the District Court granted Imagitas' motion for summary judgment in one of the coordinated cases, Rine, et al. v. Imagitas, Inc. (United States District Court, Middle District of Florida, filed August 1, 2006). On July 30, 2008, the District Court issued a final judgment in the Rine lawsuit and stayed all of the other cases filed against Imagitas pending an appellate decision in Rine. On August 27, 2008, the Rine plaintiffs filed an appeal of the District Court's decision in the United States Court of Appeals, Eleventh Judicial Circuit. The appellate process in this case is proceeding.

We expect to prevail in the lawsuits against Imagitas; however, as litigation is inherently unpredictable, there can be no assurance in this regard. If the plaintiffs do prevail, the results may have a material effect on our financial position, future results of operations or cash flows, including, for example, our ability to offer certain types of goods or services in the future.

#### Item 1A: Risk Factors

There were no material changes to the risk factors identified in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

#### Item 2: Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

# Repurchases of Equity Securities

We repurchase shares of our common stock under a systematic program to manage the dilution created by shares issued under employee stock plans and for other purposes. This program authorizes repurchases in the open market. We have not repurchased or acquired any other shares of our common stock during 2009 in any other manner.

No shares were purchased during the second quarter of 2009, leaving approximately \$73.4 million available for future repurchases under this program at June 30, 2009.

# Item 3: Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None

# Item 4: Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

The following matters were submitted to a vote of security holders during our annual meeting of stockholders held on May 11, 2009.

		Votes For	Votes Against	Abstain
1.	Election of Directors:			
	Anne M. Busquet	169,339,528	3,692,662	417,131
	Anne Sutherland Fuchs	168,755,108	4,271,839	422,374
	James H. Keyes	167,539,691	5,516,553	393,077
	David L. Shedlarz	169,372,273	3,672,132	404,916
	David B. Snow, Jr.	167,573,276	5,480,424	395,621
2.	Ratification of Independent Accountants for 2009	169,359,098	3,791,025	299,198

The following other directors continued their term of office after the annual meeting:

Rodney C. Adkins Murray D. Martin Michael I. Roth

Linda G. Alvarado John S. McFarlane Robert E. Weissman

Ernie Green Eduardo R. Menascé

There were no broker non-votes for matters submitted at the annual meeting of stockholders.

#### Item 5: Other Information

None

# Item 6: Exhibits

See Index of Exhibits.

# **Signatures**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

PITNEY BOWES INC.

August 5, 2009

/s/ Michael Monahan

Michael Monahan Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)

/s/ S. J. Green

S. J. Green Vice President – Finance and Chief Accounting Officer (Principal Accounting Officer)

42

# Exhibit Index

Exhibit Number	Description	Page Number
(3)(i)(a)	Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, incorporated by reference to Exhibit (3) to Form 10-Q as filed with the Commission on August 14, 1996. (Commission file number 1-3579)	Not applicable
(3)(i)(b)	Certificate of Amendment to the Restated Certificate of Incorporation (as amended May 29, 1996), incorporated by reference to Exhibit (a.1) to Form 10-K as filed with the Commission on March 27, 1998. (Commission file number 1-3579)	Not applicable
(3)(ii)	Pitney Bowes Inc. Amended and Restated By-laws, incorporated by reference to Exhibit (3)(ii) to Form 10-Q as filed with the Commission on August 6, 2007. (Commission file number 1-3579)	Not applicable
(10)	Form of Performance Award	Page 44
(12)	Computation of ratio of earnings to fixed charges	Page 47
(31.1)	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended	Page 48
(31.2)	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended	Page 49
(32.1)	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350	Page 50
(32.2)	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350	Page 51
101.INS	XBRL Report Instance Document	
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document	
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document	
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document	
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document	
	40	

#### FORM OF PERFORMANCE AWARD

RE:	2008 PERFORMANCE AWARD
TO:	
DATE:	

Congratulations! This is to certify that you have been awarded a one-time performance award made to you in consideration of your past performance, and more importantly, to incentivize your future performance. The award is payable in cash, or at the Executive Compensation Committee's discretion in stock, if you meet the performance criteria and remain employed with Pitney Bowes during the vesting period (see termination events below). The terms of the award are defined below:

Award Date	Award Value	Vesting Period / Date	
Feb 15, 2008	\$INSERT at target	Subject to meeting the performance criteria set below: 50% payable after August 14, 2009 50% payable after February 15, 2011	

#### Performance Metric

DATE.

The amount of the performance award is subject to the Pitney Bowes achieving 2008 Adjusted Earnings Per Share, as shown below. 2008 Adjusted Earnings Per Share excludes special items and the impact of any accounting changes.

#### 2008 Adjusted Earnings Per Share

Performance Level	Threshold	Target	Maximum
Earnings Per Share Percent Awarded	\$2.69	\$2.85	\$2.94
	33%	100%	150%

Awards will be interpolated between threshold and target and between target and maximum. No payout will be made if adjusted EPS is below threshold. For purposes of Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m), the awards will qualify as performance-based compensation and therefore the payment will be tax deductible and not subject to the \$1 million cap on deductible compensation.

Because Performance Awards are being made only to selected employees at Pitney Bowes, the Company expects that you will keep confidential and not disclose the existence, facts or terms of your Award to anyone else at Pitney Bowes. If you have any questions regarding the Award or any of its terms you may direct them to your Manager or your HR Vice President.

# **Termination Provisions**

Termination of employment will result in forfeiture of unvested performance award except as provided below:

Type of Termination	Provision
Disability <sup>1</sup>	Prorata payment for time worked as long as award has been outstanding for at least 12 months
Retirement <sup>2</sup>	No payment if retirement occurs prior to August 14, 2009; Second payment would be eligible for prorata payment based on full months worked during second 18 month vesting period (August 15, 2009 – February 15, 2011)
Death	Once performance metrics are known, then a prorata award would be paid at actual amount.
Involuntary Termination with Agreement/ Bridge to Retirement	No payment if termination occurs prior to August 14, 2009; Second payment would be eligible for prorata payment based on full months worked during second 18 month vesting period (August 15, 2009 – February 15, 2011)
Voluntary Resignation	Remaining award would be forfeited
Termination for Cause/Gross Misconduct	Remaining award would be forfeited
Change in Control with subsequent termination	Once performance metrics are known, then restrictions lapse and award would be paid in full at actual amount.
Sale of Business Unit/Spin of Business Unit	No payment if termination occurs prior to August 14, 2009; Second payment would be eligible for prorata payment based on full months worked during second 18 month vesting period (August 15, 2009 – February 15, 2011)

Disability vesting occurs after the completion of 2 years on long term disability, or on the date of termination of employment due to disability, whichever is earlier.

Upon vesting, the value of the retention award will be paid to you as soon as practicable through your normal payroll process. The company will determine the appropriate taxes required to fulfill the minimum federal, state and local withholding requirements. In the case of death, award will be paid to your estate.

# No vested rights; Waiver of claims

This award is granted solely on a discretionary basis and is not intended to create a right or entitlement in the awardee. Any payment related to the performance award will not be considered regular compensation for purposes of severance, resignation, termination, end of service payments, bonuses, long-service awards, pension or retirement benefits or similar payments, whether under statutory or common law. In addition, no awardee is entitled to have any vested right to continue to receive any future awards, nor shall any award granted to an awardee become a benefit or entitlement of employment. You will have no rights, claim or entitlement to compensation or damages as a result of your termination of employment for any reason whatsoever (whether or not in breach of contract or local law), insofar as these rights, claim or entitlement arise or may arise from the vesting of your performance award, and you irrevocably release your employer, the Company and its affiliates, as applicable, from any such rights, entitlement or claim that may arise. If, notwithstanding the foregoing, any such right or claim is found by a court of competent jurisdiction to have arisen, then, by accepting this award, you will be deemed to have irrevocably waived your entitlement to pursue such rights or claim. By accepting this award, you authorize the Company to withhold appropriate taxes if and when it determines the award becomes taxable to you. The plan and programs under which future performance awards are granted are subject to amendment, modification or termination by the company at any time.

# **Internal Revenue Code Section 409A**

If you are an officer of the Company and you are among the top 50 paid employees, Internal Revenue Code Section 409A may require that the payment of your Award be postponed for six months if the Award vests and becomes payable upon your termination from

Retirement treatment applies to employees who retire after age 55 with 10 years or more service with Pitney Bowes.

employment with the Company as provided above. If the payment of the Award is postponed, the payment will be credited with interest, compounded monthly, at the short term applicable federal rate under Internal Revenue Code Section 1274(d) for the calendar month in which the payment would have been first payable. By accepting this Award you agree to cooperate with the Company to adjust the agreement, if necessary without additional consideration, so that payments will comply with Section 409A requirements.

By receipt of this notice, you agree to accept the terms of the award as set forth herein.

# PITNEY BOWES INC. COMPUTATION OF RATIO OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES (1)

(Dollars in thousands)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	\$ 179,266	\$ 206,522	\$ 357,715	\$ 409,802
Add:				
Interest expense	54,991	57,689	107,194	119,457
Portion of rents representative of the interest factor	10,248	11,265	20,081	22,311
Amortization of capitalized interest	429	429	858	858
	<b></b>	Ф 075 005	<b>*</b> 405.040	ф <i>550</i> 400
Income as adjusted	\$ 244,934 ————	\$ 275,905	\$ 485,848	\$ 552,428
Fixed charges:				
Interest expense	\$ 54,991	\$ 57,689	\$ 107,194	\$ 119,457
Portion of rents representative of the interest factor	10,248	11,265	20,081	22,311
Noncontrolling interests (Preferred stock dividends of subsidiaries), excluding taxes	7,019	7,275	14,582	14,900
Total fixed charges	\$ 72,258	\$ 76,229	\$ 141,857	\$ 156,668
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges	3.39	3.62	3.42	3.53

<sup>(1)</sup> The computation of the ratio of earnings to fixed charges has been computed by dividing income from continuing operations before income taxes as adjusted by fixed charges. Included in fixed charges is one-third of rental expense as the representative portion of interest.

#### CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

#### I, Murray D. Martin, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Pitney Bowes Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e)) and 15d-15(f)) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 5, 2009
/s/ Murray D. Martin

Murray D. Martin

Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer

# CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

#### I, Michael Monahan, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Pitney Bowes Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e)) and 15d-15(f)) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 5, 2009

/s/ Michael Monahan

Michael Monahan

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

# CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350 AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Pitney Bowes Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2009 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Murray D. Martin, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, to the best of my knowledge, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ Murray D. Martin

Murray D. Martin Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer Date: August 5, 2009

# CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350 AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Pitney Bowes Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2009 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Michael Monahan, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, to the best of my knowledge, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ Michael Monahan

Michael Monahan Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer Date: August 5, 2009